

# Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <a href="http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content">http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content</a>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

to this subject, as I think that some reform is greatly needed. I agree with him in all that he says except that I do not think the term 'measurement' is the proper one to take the place of the common title 'weights and measures.' Under the latter title is always understood a list of the *units* and their equivalents, and therefore the term 'measurement' does not apply. In view of the fact that the units of weight are *measures* quite as well as the units of length are, it seems to me a much better title would be simply 'measures,' and I would urge the adoption of that title in place of the word 'measurement,' suggested by Dr. Goodspeed.

CARL HERING.

PHILADELPHIA.

### SHORTER ARTICLES.

DIVERGENCE OF LONG PLUMB-LINES AT THE TAMARACK MINE.

In September last two very long plumb-lines were hung in No. 5 shaft of the Tamarack Mine at Calumet, Michigan. They were 4,250 ft. in length, being longer than in any previously recorded instance. They were of No. 24 piano wire and the bobs were of cast iron, weighing fifty pounds each. Great care was taken that there should be no interference from projecting objects nor from dropping water, of which indeed there is not a great deal in the shaft. Measurements between the lines taken at surface and at their lower extremities showed a divergence to the amount of 0.11 A divergence of 0.07 ft. remained after ft. the western wire had been moved about 1.25 ft. further west to ensure its freedom from Thinking that the air pipes which obstacles. run down the western end of the shaft might, through magnetic action on the bob nearest them, be causing this divergence, I advised the use of lead bobs in a plumbing of No. 2 shaft, which took place a little later. though the length of the lines in No. 2 was about 120 ft. less than when they hung in No. 5, and although the lead bobs were used, there was yet a divergence of 0.10 ft.

The publication about this time in the Houghton Daily Mining Gazette of the fact that a divergence had been observed at-

tracted wide attention, and brought forth attempts to explain its existence. Those immediately cognizant of the conditions had no satisfactory theory to offer. One of the explanations was that the divergence was due to the greater attraction of the material at the end of the shaft for the bob hang-It is remarkable how many ing nearest it. engineers and other trained persons held to this theory. There seems to exist a general lack of appreciation of the forces of gravitation, except in the single instance of the force between the earth and objects upon it. is of course true that the attractions on either bob toward the ends of the shaft are different, the stronger being toward the end nearest to which it hangs. Furthermore, these differences of attraction tend to diverge the lines. Their amounts, however, are in this case so insignificant as to put them quite out of consideration in attempting to explain the Their sum is only a few hundivergence. dredths of a grain, and the consequent divergence only about 0.001 ft.

Professor Hallock, of Columbia University, suggested the theory of repulsion between like poles at the lower extremities of the wire, but afterwards modified this to include repulsion between like consequent poles distributed along the wires.

Permission having been granted me to carry on further experiments in No. 4 shaft of the Tamarack Mine, there were hung in this shaft bronze wires No. 20 B. & S. gauge, carrying 60-pound lead bobs. These lines were approximately 15 ft. apart and 4,440 ft. in length. By a simple system of triangulation the distance between the mean positions of their lower extremities was determined, while the distance between them at surface was directly measured. It is thought that these distances were compared with an error not greater than 0.003 ft. A small convergence of 0.028 ft. was observed. The steel wires were then hung in the same position at the top, and the positions at the bottom observed, both with lead and with iron bobs. The bronze wires were hung a second time, but somewhat nearer together, and were found practically parallel. The steel lines showed a slight convergence. Subsequently the bronze wires with lead bobs were hung in No. 5 shaft in the same position, as nearly as might be, as was occupied by the steel wires in September. The divergence was greater, amounting this time to 0.141 ft. The results are exhibited in the following table:

Date, 1902.				Distar fe	36 106+.	
	Shaft.	Wires.	Bobs.	Sur- face.	Lower Ex- trem- ities.	Con- vergence- Divergence-
Jan. 3 '' 6 '' 6 '' 9 '' 16	No. 4 '' 4 '' 4 '' 5	Bronze. Steel. Steel. Bronze. Bronze.	Lead. Iron. Lead.		15.074 15 062 14.611	$-0.015 \\ -0.027 \\ +0.004$

The data shown in the table seemed to afford ample experimental proof that neither gravitation nor magnetism could account for the divergence originally observed. Further, it seemed that the results pointed clearly to the currents of air in the shafts as the disturbing cause.

Until No. 5 shaft is connected with other portions of the mine its ventilation is accomplished by dividing it into two parts by means of a tight casing which sets off one compartment and the ladder-way, at the western end of the shaft, to serve as an air chimney for the up-cast draft. At the time of the September and January plumbings there existed at different levels a number of openings in this casing. The west wire hung in the air chimney, and these openings permitted a rush of air from the down-cast side into the up-cast portion, the effect of which would be to move the west wire toward the west and thus produce a divergence. To make the proof as complete as possible it was decided to hang the bronze wires once more in this shaft, but to hang the west one in the compartment next the air chimney, rather than in it. It seemed that if both wires were hung in the down-cast portion the divergence ought Moreover, communication beto disappear. tween the air chimney and the down-cast portion was carefully stopped off as far down as the extent of the wires, and, to further prevent circulation, the shaft was covered at the top as soon as the wires were in position. Since a considerable difference in temperature exists between the bottom of the shaft and the surface, it was not possible to stop all circulation. There remained a considerable convection circulation whose down-cast portion was concentrated along the casing above referred to. The measurements between the wires were, at surface 11.944 ft., at bottom 11.962 ft., showing a divergence of 0.018 ft. This divergence was easily accounted for by the convection current just described.

The difference between the divergence of the steel wires hung in this shaft in September, and of the bronze ones in January is explained by the fact that the circulation in the warmer weather of September was much less vigorous than in January and, further, that the steel wires afforded the smaller surface to be acted upon.

The question of air currents had been considered early in the experiments. That they could account for the divergence was very slowly admitted by the observers, inasmuch as it was difficult to believe that currents of air could be of the steadiness, in both volume and direction, which would be necessary to permit the constancy which was observed in the mean positions of the lines. The mean positions were observed on scales, placed close to the wire. Most of the time scales divided into sixteenth inches were used. For hours at a time the variations of the mean position of a wire would not exceed three or four tenths of a scale division. The mean position was determined by drawing the wire aside and allowing it to vibrate, as in determining the resting point of a balance by the method of vibrations.

The responsibility of the air currents once admitted, it was found by studying the conditions in No. 2 shaft that the divergence there observed could be satisfactorily explained. The shaft is down-cast and the air leaves it at the west end to reach the mine. The small convergence observed in No. 4 shaft can likewise be accounted for by the swirl of the currents as they enter this shaft, which is up-cast. The contour of the walls

of the plats is such that the currents of air hugging the outside of the curve as they enter the shaft will have a tendency from the west wall toward the center. Moreover, it appears that this tendency will be stronger close to the wall than a little distance away. When therefore on the 9th of January, the west wire was moved eastward, lessening the distance between the lines, the wires hung more nearly parallel than when this wire was close to the wall of the shaft.

It seems therefore that a very simple cause was at the bottom of the divergence. The remarkable fact is that the currents of air should be so constant in their action. When, however, the great depth of the shafts is considered, also the constancy for considerable periods of time of the temperatures which may influence these currents, it seems reasonable that this steadiness should exist.

F. W. McNair.

MICHIGAN COLLEGE OF MINES, HOUGHTON, MICH.

#### SEX IN SEED PLANTS.

Probably everyone who has tried it will say that it is not easy to teach students the relation between pteridophytes and seed plants. Yet by following closely the origin of the sporophyte and its gradual evolution the subject can be made clear if all conditions are favorable. One important condition is that the text-books consulted by the student shall be perfectly clear, that there shall be no confusion of terms.

In popular accounts of plants, as in popular works on science generally, one must expect to find technical subjects treated in rather off-hand fashion. But in works planned for college students it does not seem unreasonable to ask for simple accuracy. Now it has long been known that, among the seed plants, 'the plant' is the sporophyte, a non-sexual organism. The

stamens therefore cannot be male organs nor the carpels female organs. Placing the pollen upon the stigma is not fertilization and every botanist knows it. There are no such things as male and female flowers, nor flowers which are unisexual or hermaphrodite.

Notwithstanding these well known facts, many botanists continue to use these inaccurate expressions: Practically all of the European botanical journals are serious offenders. In our own country the first class journals use the modern terminology but many of the most widely used text-books do not. The most recently issued American text-book, a work intended for university students, contains the misleading and irrational terms mentioned above.

Methods of teaching botany are frequently discussed at educational conventions. To the writer it seems that what we need is not some new and fancy method of teaching but a knowledge of facts by the teacher and an ability to select a text-book which is clear and accurate in its terminology—not muddled and confused.

Francis Ramaley.

University of Colorado.

# $\begin{array}{ccc} \textit{HARVARD} & \textit{COLLEGE} & \textit{OBSERVATORY} \\ & \textit{ASTRONOMICAL} & \textit{BULLETIN}. \end{array}$

The determination of the law governing the variation in light of the planet Eros (433) is one of the most interesting problems in Astronomical Photometry. A similar variation in light of the planets Sirona (116) and Tercidina (345) has been announced by Dr. M. Wolf, of Heidelberg. Both objects are favorably situated for observation this summer. The opposition of Sirona occurs on June 15, 1902, Magn. 10.9. Accordingly the following ephemeris for Greenwich Midnight has been computed by Mr. F. E. Seagrave, of Providence, R. I., from the elements given in the Berlin Jahrbuch for 1904.

## EPHEMERIS.

1902.	J.	D.		R. A	••	Dec.			$\operatorname{Log} r$	$\text{Log }\Delta$
May 26	.5 241	5896	ь. 17	m. 53	s. 45.8	24		" 30	0.45135	0.27197
June 5	.5	5906	17	<b>45</b>	10.2	25	5	25	0.45349	0.26518
June 15	.5	5916	17	35	36.5	25	12	39	0.45560	0.26468
June 25	.5	5926	17	26	7.8	<b>—</b> 25	15	52	0.45767	0.27063
July 5	.5	5936	17	17	43.2	25	15	<b>4</b> 9	0.45969	0.28260