

Flat Earth Theory: Discrimination against Climate Deniers through Cabaret Propaganda

● auf--zur--mitte-blogspot-com.translate.goog/2016/09/flache-erde-theorie-diskriminierung-von.html


It all started with a climate speech by Obama

Is the Flat Earth art movement the result of a clever double strategy by the Obama administration to marginalize dissidents and cast doubt on the democratic decision-making process? The starting signal for this social phenomenon was Obama's 2013 speech in Washington against climate deniers, whom he equated with members of the Flat Earth Society.

The original "[Flat Earth Society](#)" has existed since the mid-1950s. Its members (the number is unknown) believe that our earth is flat. On their [FAQ page](#) (which has been running since at least 2006), the arguments of today's art movement are actually confirmed.



Thanks to the mass production of - sometimes very elaborate - "proof videos" in support of the flat earth theory, which began in 2014, many more people now believe in it. This art movement began in mid-2014, about a year after Obama's speech .



Searches for "flat earth" started together with the videos in mid-2014

In the meantime (after only two years) there are 550,000 Google results for "flat earth", and 119,000 for "flat earth".

Obama on "Climate Change & Energy Policy"
Georgetown University, June 2013

It is noticeable that in numerous flat earth videos a connection is made to other hotly debated scientific topics that are supported by the established scientific establishment with dubious and flimsy "explanatory models" - from the moon landing to climate change (greenhouse effect) to the steel melting theory of 9/11. A skeptic of these models is therefore inclined to fundamentally question the images published by the elitist space travel company NASA using the same logic.

Climate deniers, Christians and political dissidents are the target

How can one ridicule this healthy, growing skepticism about the political course and dismiss it as "tin foil hat paranoia"? That's right: Take a **"nonsense theory" that is being circulated by as many individuals as possible with as many pseudo-facts as possible**. Then one simply equates all climate deniers with supporters of the theory, and all climate deniers end up in the same boat as the "eternal yesterday people" and "anti-progressive".

Many Christians are in a real dilemma because they want to believe the Bible's word (about creation), but have already absorbed the "Copernican insult" with their mother's milk. It is clear that they will immediately jump on the next best slow train hyped by the media (flat earth). Basically, the flat earth is a massive attack of division, delusion and stupidity, especially against "enlightened" Western Christians!

Obama's propaganda strategists cleverly chose the "flat earth" theory as a "nonsense theory" precisely because the spherical shape of the earth seems to be irrefutable. The start of this large-scale cabaret propaganda was Obama's speech on climate change and energy policy on June 25, 2013 ("Climate Change & Energy Policy", Washington DC, Georgetown University). Excerpt from Obama's speech:

*"But more broadly, we've got to move beyond partisan politics on this issue. I want to be clear -- I am willing to work with anybody -- Republicans, Democrats, independents, libertarians, greens -- anybody -- to combat this threat on behalf of our kids. I am open to all sorts of new ideas, maybe better ideas, to make sure that we deal with climate change **in a way that promotes jobs and growth** .*

*Nobody has a monopoly on what is a very hard problem , **but I don't have much patience for anyone who denies that this challenge is real. (Applause.) We don't have time for a meeting of the Flat Earth Society (Applause.)** Sticking your head in the sand might make you feel safer, but it's not going to protect you from the coming storm. And ultimately, we will be judged as a people, and as a society, and as a country on where we go from here."*

Obama lures climate researchers and companies with secure jobs and, on the other hand, puts climate sceptics on the same level as members of the "Flat Earth Society". As mentioned, this discrimination was not directed at the actual society, but was meant metaphorically. The founder of the FES, of all people, believes in man-made climate change . The term "Flat Earth Society" became a catchphrase overnight in all media (Washington Post , BBC, etc.). But Secretary of State John Kerry has also used the "killer argument" of the Flat Earth Society several times (CNS News , MSNBC). Here is a compilation of their various speeches :




Watch Video At: <https://youtu.be/h0X4umYepHk>

Obama and Kerry on Flat-Earth Society

It seems that even fake images (possibly underwater images of the ISS station) are deliberately spread to provide "arguments" to supporters of the flat earth theory. Paradoxically, the larger the group of supporters, the easier it is to associate and discriminate against those who think differently. The art movement, which was brought to life with great effort, is intended to take on global dimensions so that a discussion as broad as that about the moon landing can arise, only with the flat earthers' argumentative cards being much weaker. As early as 1995, the Illuminati Card Game featured a card about the future "flat earthers". That should give us a lot to think about.

Celebrities such as the Vietnamese model Tila Tequila (also a Trump supporter, Hitler admirer and porn star) or, more recently, Xavier Naidoo are being passed around in the media in order to get the Flat Earth "into the conversation", to gradually make it palatable to the masses and, if possible, to split the truth movement into various "world view camps". Even Donald Trump himself is said to have come out as a Flat Earther



(which turned out to be satire). As if he had now been earmarked as the leading representative of the future "opposition of the ignorant". After all, he is a confirmed climate denier , which he has in common with Ted Cruz. But he is only an "authorized hero" by the grace of the system. Whoever is hoping for him will probably be bitterly disappointed. Trump will never be president , Obama has declared. Obama has even given orders for the Clintons to be arrested immediately if anything happens to Trump . There are only two places in the English Bible where "trump" is mentioned instead of "trumpet":

In his speeches, Obama complains about how difficult it is to have a political discussion with such "incorrigibles" and that it is simply a waste of time.

In doing so, the flat-earth supporters are, without realizing it, directly fueling **political disillusionment** , both among politicians and among the electorate. But this could be precisely what is planned, in order to brand the model of democracy itself as ineffective. On the other hand, in view of the "massive climate change " , important decisions are pressing that concern the "survival of humanity". In the short to medium term, it will probably no longer be possible to take into account those who think differently - that could be the result.

The environmental threat is being exaggerated. The whole hype ignores basic measurement data. One example: The NGO "**Sierra Club**" is the oldest and largest nature conservation organization in the United States, which also supports Agenda 21 , the global, rigorous UN climate protection program that threatens 10 million jobs in the USA alone. In a public hearing (mid-2015), Republican-conservative US Senator and Trump rival Ted Cruz asked Sierra Club President **Aaron Mair** whether he knew the term "The Pause ," which climate change warners use to describe the **lack of temperature increase over the last 18 years** (up to 2015) (as proven by satellite images). And whether the Sierra Club was prepared to change its position in light of the scientific facts. The hearing turned into an interrogation, Mair squirmed and was at a loss.



Watch Video At: <https://youtu.be/SI9-tY1oZNw>

Senator Ted Cruz interrogates Aaron Mair, president of the NGO "Sierra Club"

Ted Cruz is a climate skeptic and claims, contrary to the "scientific state of affairs," that there is no scientific evidence to support man-made global warming. Cruz was initially very successful as a presidential candidate in the 2016 election. But Trump stabbed Cruz in the back by calling him "Lying Ted" and even suggesting that Cruz's father was involved in the assassination of John F. Kennedy. Cruz withdrew his presidential candidacy in favor of Donald Trump on May 3, 2016, which triggered the biggest crisis of Cruz's political career. If Cruz were US President, the constant **hype surrounding the members of the Flat Earth Society** would suddenly collapse, as climate deniers would no longer need to be discriminated against.

Together with the political threat posed by the pseudo-Islamic IS, all that is needed in **the clash of cultures and worldviews** is a European 9/11 to silence dissidents and put Europe on the ESM course. Then the long-awaited **United States of Europe** can finally be declared, with a **non-democratically legitimized emergency government**. And the people will even be grateful.

Since its inception in 2014, the "Flat Earth Movement" has never had the intention of explaining contradictory phenomena with a better theory, but only of pooling dissidents in order to be able to discriminate against them conveniently.

Many uncritical "system critics" fall for this because they believe that their skepticism is better confirmed by quantitative "GoPro arguments" than by high-quality text and graphic

arguments that require the user to think things through for themselves. And unfortunately, more and more people lack the patience and perseverance for this. Very screwed up, is all I can say!

The Middle Ages believed in the ball

The Earth as a disc has no tradition in human history. It seems to be a fact that **in the Middle Ages no one believed in the disc shape of the Earth**, especially since its spherical shape had been known since ancient times:

*"People in the Middle Ages are said to have believed that the earth was flat. Even Columbus' sailors were terrified that their ships would fall over the edge of the world into nothingness. And this despite the fact that the ancients already knew that the earth was a sphere. The idea of the stupid, ignorant inhabitants of the medieval world has haunted history books, school books and novels for centuries. **In reality, every serious medieval scholar assumed that the earth was spherical. This knowledge was also quite natural for poets and merchants, monks and priests, as numerous sources show.** Last but not least, the imperial orb as a symbol of power in the Holy Roman Empire shows what image people had of the world at that time. But how did it come about that the Middle Ages were attributed with the belief that the earth was flat? It was defamation. In the Renaissance, humanism and the Enlightenment, scholars tried to distinguish the "light" of their own cognitive achievements from the "darkness" of the ignorance of their predecessors. With lasting success. The myth of the Dark Ages with its stupid and superstitious people persists to this day. **The idea of a globe was not considered heresy, and even popes and inquisitors did not object to it.** After all, Aristotle, the great ancient philosopher and intellectual father of the Middle Ages, had already described the earth as a sphere. And the famous trial against the Italian researcher Galileo Galilei was not about the spherical shape of the earth - something that is often confused - but about its position in space: **the dispute was about whether our home planet or the sun was the center of the universe.***

- [Focus.de](#), 6.4.2016

Questionable explanatory models

In one of the most watched videos on the flat earth (over 11 million views since the end of 2014), elementary errors of reasoning are made, such as that gravity works completely differently than expected and that centrifugal force, for example, makes a runner on the outer


edge of a rotating disk not faster, but slower (although the rotation speed is of course greatest there).

In addition, almost every established finding is called into question. Viewers without a basic understanding of physics are easily impressed by this. In German-speaking countries, the Flat Earth became known primarily through the following video from mid-2015, which attempts to provide proof through sheer mass using around 200 "arguments" that would take a lot of time to refute individually.


Watch Video At: <https://youtu.be/0GCac9fFH6o>




Is the refutation of the Flat Earth trivial?



There are many arguments used to refute the Flat Earth theory. Here are the 15 most well-known.




Non-Euclidean space with curved surface results in angle sum $> 180^\circ$ (see 2.)



Watch Video At: <https://youtu.be/lutu-mcUcMI>

Refutation of the claim of flat-earth supporters that the sun's orbit can be explained as "perspective disappearance"




The sun's path differs
in spherical earth and flat disk (see 4.)
(c) Michael Kurz


Foto 1: London Foto 2: Sydney



The moon is upside down in the southern hemisphere!



The angle between sunrise and sunset is much too small on flat earth (see No. 14).



Airplane pilots would have to fly a curve on a flat earth in order to stay at a certain latitude (e.g. the equator) or to fly a straight line from A to B (see No. 15).

1. The Southern Cross and the North Star would have to be visible at the same time on a flat earth, which is not the case. There is a short video about this: [Flat Earth refuted in 103 seconds](#) . The **North Star** must be aimed at about 90° above your head at the North Pole, while from the equator it is visible at 0° just above the horizon. This phenomenon would be completely impossible on a flat earth. QED .
2. The sum of the interior angles of a triangle: On a disk surface it would be exactly 180° , but on a sphere surface it would be more than 180° . This is the first thing that flat earthers should check. QED .
3. A rotating primordial mass cannot form into a disk because gravity acts radially and thus there is no force that favors a "disk-shaped expansion" of the primordial mass. QED .
4. The sun and moon would have to increase in size from the horizon towards the zenith, as that is where they approach the observer. Otherwise there would be no day/night change, which is only supposed to occur due to "perspective". If the sun were to approach and recede in perspective, it would 1) *always* be visible and 2) it would cover smaller and smaller distances in the sky at equal time intervals the closer it gets to the horizon. But that is exactly what is *not* observed! QED .
5. The sun is much too big at sunset to confirm the flat-thinker theory. The sun would have to become much smaller and, above all, it would never be allowed to disappear vertically downwards like that. It would just get closer to the horizon and at some point it would be so small that you would no longer be able to see it. QED .
6. The flight times differ greatly from the calculated distances on a disc. *"So let's fly from Johannesburg to Sao Paulo, it's 7429 kilometers. Flight time 10 hours 15 minutes. For comparison, a flight route from Moscow to New York: it's 7509 kilometers (80 kilometers more). Flight time 9 hours 55 minutes. The distances are about the same and so are the flight times. **If the earth were a disc, the flight from Johannesburg to Sao Paulo would take much longer, about three times as long.** So the flat earth is the "latest thing" that can only fade away, because the earth is spherical..." - from a [Christian blog](#).* QED .
7. Above all, there would be no clear night shadow boundary on a flat Earth. The observed boundary runs straight along a hemispheric great circle from north to south. On a flat surface, the shadow would have to be concave and blurred (since there is no object between the sun and the surface). QED .
8. The seasons on the Flat Earth actually depend on the distance of the sun. The sun would have to rotate in a relatively small, 365-day epicycle around an imaginary center of mass that follows its daily orbit and would also change rhythmically in its angular size, which is not observed. QED .

9. *The horizon effect cannot* be explained by "perspective compression" ! See the video above "Sun's trajectory as perspective". QED

10. The pictures of the 230 m high Cuxhaven TV tower , taken from Heligoland, 60 km away, are an example of objects disappearing behind the horizon. The operating platform of the Friedrich Clemens Gerke Tower is at a height of 100 m. If you feed the "Earth Curvature Calculator" with a 40 m high observation point on Heligoland and a distance of 60 km to the tower, the result is 109 m below the horizon line. And all this long before the Flat Earth hype began. Back then (2011), nobody could have guessed that photographs proving the curvature of the Earth would one day become so important and that curvature deniers would accuse every photo of "manipulation à la NASA". QED

11. In flight navigation, it is known that an **artificial horizon** (gyroscope) must constantly send a message (with a time delay) in order to display the correct flight pitch. This would not be necessary in a flat world. QED

12. The **moon** would not be exactly upside down in the southern hemisphere, but would have to look exactly the same, or, if it were an approaching disk, would be larger at the zenith than at the horizon. See the image comparison above between London and Sydney. The moon cannot be upside down

on a flat earth precisely because everything takes place on a single plane, so all observers - in relation to this one plane - only look in the same direction (upwards), regardless of where on the plane they are! To deny this, one must deny objective reality. The "proof of contradiction" against a "non-binding reality" is usually given to children with a resounding slap in the face. QED .

13. If the moon itself were radiant, as FE supporters claim, the moon should not have phases and the shadows of the mountains on the moon should not change according to the phase. QED

14. If you are standing directly on the equator (e.g. in Quito) at the **equinox** on March 20th or September 22nd , the sun will rise exactly in the east, move through the zenith (vertically above your head) and set exactly in the west. The real observer must turn exactly 180° between sunrise and sunset. However, on a flat earth, because of the equinox, there should only be exactly 90° between the two points (the sun makes exactly half an orbit), no more (that would be a shorter day!) and no less (that would be a longer day)! That means on a flat earth there should only be exactly 90° between the two points on the horizon for the Quito viewpoint, and definitely not 180°! QED

15. On a flat earth, airplanes would have to **constantly fly a slight curve** to stay on the equator. On a globe, they only need to maintain altitude (through the artificial horizon)
16. Last but not least: The **starry sky** rotates clockwise in the northern hemisphere and counterclockwise in the southern hemisphere. This **ONLY** works on a sphere! QED

Amazing, but true:

There is not a single website that would refute any of the above points. That is probably not important anyway, because ultimately it is all a high-tech Truman Show, a computer-simulated matrix, as two tech billionaires would seriously believe.

The **parallels to the so-called "transgender madness"** are astonishing. The elementary error in thinking in the male/female "identification preference" is evident here, but at the same time also the increasing inability of many people to recognize such a mistake themselves.



Fernsehturm Cuxhaven with 230 m height photographed from Heligoland



Watch Video At: <https://youtu.be/4MbMv-pqDZ0>

Flat Earth - the only alternative model?

If we assume that the surface of the earth is spherical, the surface can only be convex, but just as easily **concave** . This makes no difference in terms of the degree of curvature and the perspective distortion. Only the curvature of light would have to be increased to about 8 cm/km. The **rotation of the earth**,

which is essential in the heliocentric world view, is one of its greatest weaknesses, because it cannot exist for various reasons . It is astonishing and even dismaying that flat-earth supporters automatically doubt the spherical shape because of this justified criticism. If so, then they should also study the only empirically verified alternative model to date: cellular cosmology . This also explains the horizon effect, the visibility of objects behind the horizon, but also phenomena that have so far only been answered by popular science (folk), such as the origin of the seasons or the temperature differences between the morning and midday sun, tide valleys and high tide peaks, the three-body problem (stability of the solar system in the long term), the structure and origin of the earth, and much more. Donald Trump is said to have tweeted a "biblical reference" for the flat earth (which is also cited as an argument by Christian flat earthers): "Book of Matthew, folks, the devil took him to a very high mountain, very high, and what did he do? He showed him the whole world." - "Again the devil took him to a very high mountain and showed him all the kingdoms of the world and their glory." (Mt 4:8). This does not mean a physical "mountain", because even from Mt. Everest (8 km altitude) the theoretical visibility is only 319 km. On the other hand, mountain is also used metaphorically for "seat of the gods", e.g. in Plato: From Olympus, the gods overlook the entire earth. "Mount Meru" is also referred to as the center of the sky. In cellular cosmology, this corresponds to the "sky", the sphere of fixed stars, or "Black Sun" or Alcyone, from which one actually has an overall view of the entire earthly world and all the realms in between (concentric spheres). It seems like an irony of fate that the Flat Earth model stops exactly halfway between the full-sphere world view and cellular cosmology in terms of curvature. It therefore represents a "dangerous half-truth", an unsatisfactory neither-nor, which raises more questions than it answers.

How one can still practice philosophy in the face of the infinite emptiness of the heliocentric paradigm, which also persists in the flat model, is a mystery. It can perhaps be explained by the prevailing Faustian spirit of the times , which seems to be addicted to extreme models. The "Flat Earthers" who have appeared out of nowhere are a product of the spirit of the times! They must therefore exist - with or without help from the CIA. Because the people have already been lied to too much, why not also - and above all - with regard to their world view? Flat Earthers are actually right on this point (which is by far the most decisive for them)! But they would obviously rather swallow the poisoned bait of the "Flat Earth" than make the effort to study ALL alternatives in the interests of finding the truth (especially cellular cosmology, which also conforms to all of its counterarguments against the full earth). The only explanation for why they do not take the next and final step is that they are

nurturing a strong "discord" or anger within themselves. They cannot and do not want to accept the truth. It would suddenly be too "peaceful". They are subconsciously only attracted to extreme opposing positions (not "concave-round", but, if anything, "flat"), no matter how grotesque! In their negative identification they are no different from the Illuminati (the only thing missing is their nihilistic cynicism).

UFOs and "extraterrestrials"

The heliocentric worldview developed very gradually. For a long time there was simply no need for a rigorous refutation. Live and let live. It was only in the 20th century that the dehumanizing consequences of the "great insults to humanity" (especially through heliocentrism and the theory of evolution) became abundantly clear, when the globalized world began to fall completely apart due to world wars, the gap between rich and poor, communism and the anonymous mass society running on a computer-monitored hamster wheel. What we are currently experiencing in the early 21st century is the final attack on the religions of Christianity and Islam. And right now - and not just for me - the question of worldview and human image is more pressing than ever. But in order to discriminate against serious questioners from the outset as stuck in the past, the flat earth theory has been hyped since mid-2014.

Perhaps also because a large-scale deception is being planned through an "alien invasion" in order to abolish the annoying religions with their human values in one fell swoop by completely reinterpreting the "gods" à la Erich von Däniken. The topic of "contact with extraterrestrials" has never been as present as it has been in the last few months (2016). And it would then be very important, of course, that no one questions their extraterrestrial origins. Of course, that only works in the completely unproven, contradictory and aggressively atheistic heliocentrism. The "alien invasion" has already been announced by many blockbusters and is eagerly awaited by many "reality refugees" so that "all the (religious) wars" (but with it all the sovereignty of states and individuals) can finally come to an end. Unfortunately, the Flat Earth theory also leaves the question of the origin of UFOs open, since the disk is an open system. Compared to the full sphere world view, the size of the universe does not change, even if the sun and moon shrink to small objects in the FET.

In cellular cosmology, on the other hand, there is no infinite space for potentially infinitely many more advanced civilizations. Everything has space within the giant cell (inverted globe), if necessary on other frequency spheres that are arranged concentrically around the center. The connection to neighboring cells does not seem possible. Anyone who has not made any fundamental astronomical considerations (this includes flat earthers in particular) could easily fall for this "greatest deception in human history."