

Karl Neupert:

**The Fight Against The
Copernican Worldview**



*a translation by Joe Dubs
in association with ConcaveEarth.net*

KSAS
BK-0275

Rec'd - 2/20/28

5102

The
Fight Against
the Copernican
Worldview

By Karl Neupert

1928

Publisher: Memmingen Publishing and Printing Cooperative

WHAT DOES THE BOOK WANT?

Finally:

*Rein - vernichtung (Hollengeit
(allmacht!)
is not an
white dot*

Our time has seen the greatest upheavals; things have come true which the boldest imagination would have described as castles in the air.

We see top performances in all technical areas, we fly, we can hear from far away, soon we will be able to watch television, etc. Who would have believed in the political upheavals that had taken place in 1914 and who today, with this self-confident, super-materialistic world view, believes in the possibility of a spiritual upheaval? But it is precisely this excess of power glorification that already shows the imminent turn of development in a spiritual direction. The "wheel of time" will revolutionize everything.

Wide, serious circles are suffering from this "progress"; their nerves are failing in the daily rush. The battle of world views rages, the relationships become more confused; the contradictions finally erupt like a thunderstorm and then? Then the misfortune becomes even greater! This battle of opinions can only be based on errors, because "one" truth and correctness must undoubtedly force unity of thought and peace.

The happiness of humanity is therefore necessarily rooted in a single true worldview, and the greatest "discovery" to be made would be the truth about our being and our purpose.

This realization prompted me to search. In my previous writing I gave a hint and called it "upheaval" because the fundamental change in the world view would not pass completely without a trace. For, if there is only one earth, only "the earth" as a world within which everything lives, then the peoples stand among themselves and with this highest center of the world through the forces in a much more intimate relationship than if the earth were just a flying, insignificant "planet". In the new world view, man first attains meaning and purpose, he becomes something lofty in the structure of the world!

Copyright 1928 by Verlags- und Druckereigenossenschaft Memmingen
Reprint, even in part, as well as the reproduction of images only
permitted with the permission of the publisher

Thanks to the help of active friends, this publication was in good hands within a few months. I therefore feel obliged to completely remove all doubts for these friends with this new publication, so that they can continue their further promotional work with certainty. In keeping with the times, I will initially only advertise with a small, inexpensive book.

The buyer of a book has no time, because everything is done by the clock. Therefore, he quickly turns the pages and wants to see whether his "attitude" is right. the book, what's new? What — do you want

An almost unbelievable refutation of the Copernican world view, the inversion of the immeasurable infinity of space into the earth's space "world" and the establishment of the true world view of the future, supported by the best evidence. The future?! Of course, you will confirm it in the end. —

All of this is so profound that I would ask you not to read the brief extract of the presentation too fluently, as the full importance and truth is usually only recognized after careful consideration; the reading has been described several times as an "experience". The new world view does not offend against religious sensibilities, it is the simplest scientific insight into the structure of the world, the discovery of the world as an optical illusion.

There are only two possibilities for the world view: Either the measurements are correct according to the image of the sky, in which case only the Copernican system is correct, or this image is wrong, in — — which case the only possibility is the hollow earth. The sky can only be either outside or inside. To put it another way: is the earth's crust convex or concave, is the earth a solid sphere or a hollow sphere as seen from us? The purpose of this paper is to decide this. In a few months, every educated German will be an astronomer! May your judgment agree with me!

Help spread these findings!

Augsburg 5 (PO Box).

Karl Neupert

I THE EARTH WORLD

1. The world views

Motto:

"Intuitions without concepts are blind, concepts without intuitions are empty. In both cases nothing is known."

Kant.

Science describes the world as an immense "empty" etheric space without boundaries. The light of the most distant nebulae visible to date, which are a cluster of the largest suns, comes from distances of many millions of light years. The billions of visible stars are comparatively like pinheads at a distance of 65,000 meters. Our solar system is one such tiny point, because it is one of the smallest stars. This "giant sun" with a volume 1,300,000 times the size of the Earth is the center of the system around which all the planets and the Earth orbit. Only the Earth is the lucky planet on which life sprouts, all the others are dead masses that were thrown out by the sun billions of years ago and are gradually returning to it to be thrown out again as a ball of embers.

If you look back to the oldest history, you will be amazed at how far we have come! At that time, the Chaldeans believed that space was a celestial cow standing on the earth's disk; later they imagined a goddess who stood with her hands and feet above the earth's surface and on whose body the stars were. Still later they imagined the sky as a river over which the stars moved like barges. Finally, in ancient times, the view arose that the earth's disk was surrounded by the sea and behind it lay the world mountain, on which the firmament rested as a diamond hemisphere; the sun was supposed to return to the east behind the world mountain to rise again. The ancestors of all countries lived with similar ideas. The Greeks still let the sun rise anew every day.

from burning vapors and extinguished with a hissing sound when immersed in the sea in the evening. Later they recognized the spherical shape of the earth and had the sun and stars attached to crystal spheres so that they circled the earth daily. Aristarchus even taught that the sun was the center, but Aristotle suppressed this world view, so that the Ptolemaic system prevailed until the 16th century. Then came the canon of Frauenburg, Copernicus, whose teachings were strongly opposed by the church; the books were on the index as banned until 1812.

Just a few numbers to remind you of school days. The distance of the earth from the sun is 150 million km, the earth's annual orbit is about 1 billion km, the diameter of the sun is 1.4 million km, the diameter of the earth is 12700 km, Jupiter 145,000 km, Mars 6800 km. The distance from the sun to Venus is 108 million, Jupiter 780 million, Saturn 1400 million, Neptune 4500 million km. The nearest fixed stars are 3 to 8 light years away, the Milky Way is about 20,000 light years away. The orbits around the sun vary from about 2 years for Mars to 12 years for Jupiter and 165 years for Neptune. As a result of these enormous distances, which were supposed to have been filled with matter at some point, scientists calculated the density of space at that time to be about 10 trillion (19 zeros) times thinner than air.

The Copernican moon has a diameter of 3400 km and is 384,000 km or 30 diameters of the Earth away; an express train would need 270 full days to reach the Sun, but 285 years or about 40 million years to reach the nearest star! A plane would need at least 1000 years to reach the Earth's orbit, so at least 40 generations would have to travel, a wonderful relay flight! Even light travelling at 300,000 km/sec would take over 8 minutes to travel from the Sun to the Earth. But we are unable to propagate our strongest light sources for just a few seconds by circular reflections; why can't we achieve continuous light?

If you imagine the moon as a 1 mm ball and the earth 100 mm away as a 3 mm pinhead, the sun would be 750 mm in size at a distance of about 50 meters; the nearest star would be 9,000 kilometers away, Sirius 60,000, and the North Star 140,000 kilometers away, i.e. $3/2$ times the circumference of the earth. For the other distant stars, the numbers in the model are incomprehensible.

The comets consist of such a fine mass that even the light of small stars shines through the head and tail. The tail of the comet of 1680 was 400 million kilometers long, the head of the comet of 1811 had a diameter four times the distance from the earth to the moon.

"No human being can visualize these dizzying sums of the dimensions of space with any other feeling than that of helpless awe at the magnitude of the world." And who controls this world?

How were these distances so artfully determined? Most readers have a sacred respect for these achievements because they are not in the picture. The small distances of just 5000 million km to Neptune are the result of the angle measurement or the so-called parallax with the size of the earth. The diameter of the earth becomes the base of a triangle and with the help of the two angles on it the distance is determined where the two sides intersect. For Neptune this parallax, i.e. the angle at which the earth would be visible from Neptune, is 0.3 arc seconds. A spider's thread in front of the eye at a visible distance in the sky measures only 10 seconds. A star at 10 trillion km (1 light year) only shows a daily parallax of 0.001 seconds, but less than 1 second is no longer measurable. Dividing a circle into seconds would require 1,296,000 lines, which means that for a 1-meter disk, there would be 400 lines per millimeter! The subtleties of the measurements themselves are to be admired. If the world were like that, it would be magnificent.

Now a larger basis was used for the triangular calculation, namely the so-called Earth's orbit of about 300 million kilometers, and measurements were taken with a difference of half a year. Now the annual parallax for Neptune was 2 degrees, but for the nearest star, 31 trillion km away (3 light years or the width of a star), it was only 1 second. Our sun, or the radius of the Earth's orbit, would appear at this angle. The measurements of even more distant stars are based on special methods, but even here the approximate estimates begin, not to mention the most distant stars and nebulae.

American astronomers are reportedly planning to build a giant telescope costing \$12 million to photograph stars up to 8 million

light years away and to study the cities on Mars in detail!

Since you can never get a "picture" of such numbers, we will make a comparison that is not disturbed by "mass effect". Imagine the sun as a sphere with a diameter of 40 meters, the earth as a sphere with a diameter of 37 cm at a distance of 4.3 km, and the 10 cm moon at a distance of 11 meters from the earth. Now the sun would not only have to throw this little globe of earth around in this circle of about 30 km in length, but also the moon. No one would be able to construct such a model! But this sphere of the sun would have such immense power that it would even safely guide the model Jupiter at 22 km, Saturn at 41 km, and Neptune at 130 km.

These ideas inevitably raise doubts. Light as the finest movement of an ether will not last for millions of years, because we cannot propagate even our sharpest light sources in mirror image. The ether is supposed to be hard-elastic and without resistance, contradictions that even the electrical theory cannot resolve. Only the earth is supposed to produce life, all other planets are dead. Will the earth therefore be a "planet"? The flight movement of 30 km every second is an inimitable one, we should feel it measurably, but there is no trace of force, even the fine air follows without a trace. And what purpose, goal, creation, etc.?

The Copernican world view is not based on observation, as most people think. Observation can show nothing but shifts in the image, and the image shows only discs and points. The Copernican world view was only thought up by asking: How could things take place in space?

— According to the measurements, the sun and sky were gigantic. The sky could not rotate, so the earth was allowed to rotate. The sun then shifted eastwards and orbited the entire star belt in a year; but as it had to stand still as a central giant mass, the earth flew around it. The moon always stayed close to the earth, orbited the star belt in an easterly direction in a month and showed phases. So it was said that the moon had to fly around the sun with the earth, but dance around the earth in the process. Then Mercury and Venus always remained at a moderate distance from the sun, so they became so-called inner planets, whereas Mars to Neptune were outer planets.

Planets had to be there because they could come into opposition to the sun (midnight). Since the sun shone on the earth for 12 years from the north and then for 6 months from the south, the axis was always inclined in the same direction, which was supposed to create the seasons. This is how this system was "discovered", i.e. constructed or invented! !

The telescope is of no use, because it only magnifies the ray images that are created at a distance of about 100 km from us at the edge of the atmosphere. How large these causes of the images really are, and where they really are in space, can only be found out by logical thinking, i.e. by the mind.

The world view developed in 3 phases: 1. The earth was a disk, with the sky above it.

2. The earth becomes the center as a solid sphere without movement, but the sky rolls around it daily.
3. The sun becomes the center, but the sky is fixed, the planet earth rotates daily as nothing in space; the whole is immeasurably large.

Now the 4th phase appears as the newest world form. The earth becomes resting again, but not the center, but the boundary of the world; the center is the sky, which rolls around daily as an optical image. The world becomes even smaller than in ancient times, the infinite space is turned inside out to form the earth world form. The earth is the world and the stage of the theater.

The thread through the labyrinth of ideas. 1. The world cannot be infinitely large.

Light years and solar vapor balls raise doubts.

2. Logically, the measurements are wrong or the image is an illusion.
3. From the measurements, the angle and the earth's base are perfectly certain, so logically only the "triangle" can be wrong, namely the sides and their intersection at that distance.
4. Logic now requires curved light paths, but curvatures are only conceivable in curved space, which should therefore be a sphere.
5. Why shouldn't the horizon be able to bend up towards the hollow earth? The angles would then point curved into the earth's space; the "triangles" would change.
6. The world becomes a cosmic egg, the inner germ sky rotates daily, the crust is concave.

7. The whole picture remains unchanged, but the form of the changes in the light locations is explained differently. The world becomes an "optical illusion".

Any attempt to save the Copernican world view by inserting "light curves" in the sense of Einstein must fail, because light curves in free ether space are impossible, because only closed spherical space allows them. A slight reduction would be pointless, because it is physically impossible for the finest wave or oscillation of "light" to propagate for even a second! But light from the Copernican sun would have to propagate for 8 minutes, whereas sound waves would need 15 years, but only last a short time. The finer the oscillation size, the shorter its lifespan (pendulum law).

If you now ask about the "outside", I say that there is no outside. You recognize things through "impressions" on the senses and space through the ability of the arms to move. You assume that nothing is space. This is a mistake. Only a "something" is conceivable, never nothing! If you think about space from the outside, you are thinking something. Wanting to think nothing means thinking nothing, the silence of the grave! Nothing outside of space is unthinkable, there is no outside, the limit is electron fire. Every arm on the outside would have to dissolve into ether, nothing.

The whole "world" is just the space of the concave, i.e. hollow, earth's crust with a diameter of 12,700 km, within which everything is located. The seemingly immeasurable sky rotates as a central star sphere within it and is orbited by the planets. The picture remains exactly the same for us as is visible in the starry sky.

The later proofs for this are based on natural knowledge, intended for materially minded people who do not want to leave the ground of visible nature. Then there are people with a different disposition, whose attitude is occult or religious. For these philosophers, the biblical proofs against the Copernican world view also apply.

In the ancient traditional creation story you read: "In the beginning God created heaven and earth." - So there is only heaven and earth! In equal proportion.

The well-known writer Johannes Buchholz in Danzig wrote me the following about it:

"You have made your discovery purely scientifically. But you will be pleased to see that your universe agrees with the Bible. No one will deny that the Bible is a wonderful book, the understanding of which is only now beginning to dawn on humanity. In this book, little astronomical teaching is given, but we can trust that the little that the Bible says is not wrong. Of course, the Bible must be understood correctly!

Please read Moses 1 verse 6 etc.! It says: Let there be a firmament in the midst of the waters, and let it separate the waters from the waters. So God made the firmament and separated the waters under the firmament from the waters above the firmament. And God called the firmament Heaven. - Didn't you discover this "firmament" with your star globe, which had previously remained unknown to man? (The star globe is also a hollow space.)




Fig. 1


And it goes on to say: Let there be lights in the firmament of the heaven to divide the day from the night; and let them be for signs, and for seasons, and for days, and years. And let there be lights in the firmament of the heaven to give light upon the earth. And God set the sun, the moon, and the stars in the firmament of the heaven.

Doesn't that agree word for word with your discovery?! This is the main point of your bold teaching

If the earth were only a grain of dust in the universe according to Copernicus, the Bible would be completely incomprehensible and arrogant. In this wonderful book, the earth and the solid are the sole focus of the considerations. Not a word, not a hint that there is another earth, etc. in the universe besides the earth and the sky (solid). It is impossible that there are countless celestial bodies with such enormous and unimaginable sizes, and the Bible would not have had the faintest idea of this. So there is nothing more than your hollow earth and the solid sky! These will also remain forever! The Creator created the world. The hollow earth with the solid and the people are certainly not unimportant to him. On the contrary, — they are his life's work, which he loves. But then it is inconceivable that it is in accordance with the plan of this great Creator that these people behave badly and attack each other. Certainly, humanity has a completely opposite task.

So with your discovery a whole new world view is created. And a new humanity is created when all the new truths are systematically brought together. In this way a new kingdom is scientifically established on earth, the "Kingdom of God on Earth" for which we have been asking in the Lord's Prayer for 2000 years. Then we will be heading towards a great, beautiful future. - Seek first the Kingdom of God." So much for the researcher Buchholz! The Bible goes on to say: The earth was desolate and empty. Darkness lay over the "abyss". The spirit of God hovered over the

"waters". God said: "Let there be light!" The earth was thus a "shell" of matter, inside the ether was the spirit of God; the ether formed water vapor. Then God created the firmament as a star sphere, so that the waters above and below the firmament were "separated". This would be unthinkable from a Copernican perspective, because there is no shell outside the earth. Furthermore, all the stars were on the firmament. God was as the center above the waters, just like that. Light in general came into being through the process of the phosphorus period, as well as through the ray elements of the earth's crust and stellar sphere. The stars were parts of the inner firmament. If the sun and its light had come first, the earth would never have been in "darkness" and the great "Let there be light" after the earth was thrown off biblical errors would never have been.



I. The World View of Antiquity.

tum. Plants sprouted first in the light. Then the celestial spheres arose in the celestial body: the sun, moon and planets, at the firmament. Only within these did living creatures emerge. They came to earth through the stars, in the biblical order. The fact that the sun and moon were not cosmic zeros is stated in the sentence: that they shine "on earth", therefore the earth was the most essential. The hollow earth world also explains the appearance of aquatic animals and birds before reptiles, because in primeval times birds were the highest, like humans.

Much later, man came as the highest, never as an animal. Toyom

mederen

2. The Image Illusion

The initiated scientist will at first glance regard a refutation of the irrefutably "proven" world view as folly and a futile effort. But here too Pilate's well-known saying applies: What is truth!? What are proofs? Despite all the strong proofs, the earlier systems fell. Theologians prove the existence of God, atheists prove the opposite; materialists prove the existence of a purely material world, but spiritualists prove the existence of spirits. In short, everyone proves, one person proves the ethical effects of war, another those of eternal peace. Disputes arise from these contrasts, of which only one form can be correct.

All truths are only relative. Even the greatest misfortune can be "lucky" at times. If I therefore present my world view as absolute truth, I seem to be condemning myself, because people will assume that this view too will one day have to go the way of all earthly things and be replaced by another, as has been the case up to now. But I maintain that it remains true forever.

Look at the 2 sides of the truth! It will remain eternally true that the world is a hollow sphere, an earth crust within which the sky is located. The relative side of the truth changes over time. Our present world view, that the "earth" is the boundary of the inner sky, will one day not be correct, because our earth must perish into ether, whereas the sky grows. In the meantime, the world view will of course change; my world view appears wrong, because the sky will be much larger, will stand in the empty ether and will have a

Earth will be missing. The picture appears Copernican, since the planets orbit around the central "sun", the world remains without visible material boundaries of the earth, space appears infinite. But this relative picture changes as soon as the material is absorbed by the sky, whereby the sky grows into the great "earth", within whose space our world view appears again. Even today the "material world" already appears Copernican to the world spirit of the center, since the earth's crust would appear as a "starry sky" due to the radiant materials in it and the distance. You can see from this that the world view is deceptive everywhere. It remains true for us that today we inhabit the hollow earth and see the inner sky.

But, you will say, the infallible astronomical measurements prove the immeasurable distances and therefore the gigantic sizes of the stars. Their orbits are also proven, including the orbit of the Earth.




Fig. 2

measurement

The lower measurement goes to the sun, here angle b is almost a right angle; the upper measurement points to the closer moon, angle a is smaller than b, thus the side cut is closer, therefore the moon cannot illuminate an entire hemisphere.

The formula for the calculation is simple. The distance to the moon H is equal to base B (6350 km) times tangent angle a (HB times tangent a). Where the size of the earth is not sufficient as a basis, one measures with the "earth's orbit", which is nothing other than a difference of half a year! These measurements were already known in 200 BC by Eratosthenes, who measured the size of the earth. Today's mathematical arts therefore prove nothing for the world view; the image is deceptive, not the "measurement"!

But what are the proofs! There are no "direct" measurements with the telescope, but all measurements are made geometrically using triangles; the diameter of the earth as a side and two adjacent angles, whereby the intersection point of the adjacent sides is calculated.

The measurements were made according to the image of the stars, assuming that these points of light really are there, so that the path of light is straight. As a result of doubts about such a wide world of trillions of kilometers, I came to the conclusion that the paths of light are circles, and therefore these "triangles" are incorrect. The spatial curves within the new "spherical space" do not lead to infinity, but to the center of the earth's space, the sky, which you see enlarged. What is further explained as "orbits" are really nothing other than planetary shifts in the image of the stars, which can be shown much more clearly in the new image. I emphasize that it is not my representation that shifts the image of the sky, but that the copper form requires adjustments in the imagination that are illogical. My image without adjustments takes precedence.

After my development of life from the primordial substance in equality with the world, you should be fully convinced of the earthly world, which correctly represents "Mother Earth", egg in egg. Planets form in the middle, cells and beings in these, and everything is deposited on earth. But it is not the few globules of matter, which are almost nothing in the vast space of 12,700 km in diameter, that form the "world", but the world is really the power space of the earth, which is roared through by waves of thought and feeling, like an invisible nervous system of the world organism. The few globules move in their circles with infallible, lawful certainty, developing systematically from the germs; But the raging world of power of the waves in constant change at lightning speed, it is the world of effects on our thinking, it drives us like automatons and creates the relationships, the battle of opinions of the various automatons and thereby the fate of the world on our earth, the great cinematic canvas of the world, the embodiment of the central world light of thought. Only the diversity of people creates conflict. But you want to hear about the world view.

Imagine yourself on a ship at night under the brilliant tropical starry sky, a sea of flickering points. If you look through a telescope, the seemingly empty spaces dissolve into finer stars; the best photographs even show countless points. It is therefore only the camera that shows the sky as "just points of light". Billions of such stars make up the

sautfernter (thanks to the drainage)

Fixed star sky, of which you have the idea that all these dots are enormous giant suns, some millions of times larger than our sun, that the small dots are so much further away, so that the light takes many millions of years to reach us and that the star space has no end. The sky above you therefore turns out to be an illusion right from the start, because in the time of millions of years this world could have died out, all the stars would no longer be reality, but you would only admire the "wave image" of the light that would still be on its way, but the infinite space could be dead. So what you can believe to be real in the sky would only be our solar system and a few nearby stars, whereas the nearest mass, the "Milky Way", could have died out almost 20,000 years ago. But the "solar system" is also a mistake.

This space is so immeasurable that we can only speak of a gaping void, because in comparison the stars are like pinheads at a distance of about 60 km. A light year is about 10 trillion km, so the distances are trillions of km and the following joke would apply to space: take an ink bottle one kilometer long as a cube, write a number on a strip of paper until the ink runs out - then the number in kilometers or years is still nothing compared to the size of space and eternity! The world is — represented in the Copernican picture. This is how

If you observe this sky for a few hours, you will notice that it rolls from east to west. If it had been proven by trigonometric measurements that the sky was infinite, it was obvious that it could not rotate around the small earth, but rather the opposite was the case, and the scrolling image appeared as a result of the rotation of the earth. The earth now became a "planet" that danced around the sun. The difficulties of imperceptible movement in such an incredible size were dismissed by explanations. Thus, people simply believed that they were incomprehensible.

Since time immemorial, no one has thought otherwise than that this sky is outside and that we live on a spherical earth. The sun was seen at two opposite points of the equator, so here sunrise (O.), there sunset (W.) at an angle of almost 90 degrees to the vertical. Therefore, the earth's diameter OW of 12700 km was

Measurements with a tape measure were known with certainty, and the "triangle" and the intersection of these almost parallel sides (arrows) could be calculated using these two adjacent angles, which was at a distance of about 150 million km. In this simple way, sometimes with combinations, space was measured and one felt safe on this mathematical slippery slope. This was just as safe as measuring the distance of a tower when the height is known, or as the height is determined when the distance and the angle of view are fixed. The diameters of the spheres were then determined using the same principles and the masses were calculated using the laws of gravitation and trajectories. The shifts in the image sky were reinterpreted as movements in space through trajectories, from which the various ellipses, parabolas, inclinations, speeds, directions, disturbances, etc. were derived. This is how the Copernican image came about, actually quite naturally and apparently strictly logical.




Fig. 3

arc.

Since in the case of the east and west the light paths are horizontal both inside and outside and the angles are distributed on the circle, the eye sees the sun inside at the same angles of elevation to the tangent or horizon as outside. Observation does not provide any certainty about the true position of the sun. The same angles show an infinitely wide angle outside and a close angle inside.

Astronomers make the same mistake as if someone claimed that the tree reflected in the pond was located in the straight line of sight to the water at an enormous distance and size under the ground. The explanation of the curves follows.

You thought about all this on your ship. But if the image is only an illusion, perhaps it is no longer in space, how would it be if these angles did not point straight to the target! Then

the measurements would be wrong and the curved sides would intersect at a much smaller distance. But, you say to yourself, this would soon be noticed, there would be confusion as soon as the measurements were taken from different directions. The astronomers would have come to this conclusion. After all, this eternal space seems fabulous.




Fig. 4

The reduction of the Copernican world to a small size in the sense of the figure encounters resistance as soon as these curves of nearby stars are combined with the "earth's orbit". But if one only tries to reduce them a little, the innumerable light years remain. Firstly, these are impossible; secondly, however, an empty ether space cannot produce light curves because a spherically filled space is required for this, and thirdly, this would make the Copernican movements impossible. In a small Copernican world, the displacements in space would definitely show the curves and real distances. Incidentally, a "reduction" would be pointless.

You ask yourself, how can this subtle vibration of light last longer than seconds, millions of years, continuously, travelling 300,000 km every second? How can such a continuous movement take place through empty space?

How did life come to earth from a space of 270 degrees Celsius, where everything dies, or how did it arise from the glowing liquid mass and why did the breath of water and air not get lost in the vacuum in the speeding Earth? How could a vacuum even be formed?




Fig. 5

Just as the sun is not seen in its true position but in curves (explanations follow), we also do not see along the horizon, because the horizontal visual curve bends up towards the sky. Everything below this visual line is not there for us and we "look for it" under the ground. The approaching ship comes up into this visual curve, in the same way the visual rays from the rising sun first come from the upper edge, then it rises higher as the angle of incidence increases, it "goes up" like the ship.

The sky lies like a huge hemisphere above the ground. This ground is flat, but ships always appear with the masthead first, then rise up as they get closer. So you say that this flat surface of the sea is undoubtedly curved downwards, because the ship is rising. You say to yourself again: Strange that you can receive radio waves from the most distant regions around this curve and here and there you can even see the Fata Morgana around this corner! What if this apparently flat ground is curved upwards instead of downwards and the whole ship interpretation only requires an optical explanation? Then the radio waves would naturally go straight over to America, the view of the higher landscape, without this "cover". A new picture!

Why then do the similar "light waves" of a lighthouse not go around the earth, if light is supposed to travel for "millions of years"?! Furthermore, when looking at the spirit level or level, you have previously thought that it is horizontal everywhere in space, so that the horizon is horizontal to infinity. But this level is horizontal all over the earth, so this horizon is a circle. Would you

If you could see thousands of kilometers away, you would see around in a circle. The only question is how.

They follow the idea without —prejudice. The flat earth's crust bends upwards, invisible in the distance, ever higher; at an altitude of 6000 km the hemispherical shell AB is at its widest, now it bends upwards in the same curve, to close the spherical shape at an altitude of 12700 km S. Nothing has changed in the picture; the earth remains beneath the feet, the air is above the ground, the same everywhere the ship goes; the round trip would remain the same, even the sky St would be unchanged above the head, the earth would be a hollow sphere, all continents and seas follow gravity downwards and thus outwards, the heads of all people (arrows) point inwards towards the centre of the hollow earth, and the sky can only be this centre because it is the same distance from the earth's crust everywhere. Consequently, it must represent a small sphere of shape inside there. The former outside now becomes an "inside" in a huge space that you can hardly imagine. But these giant suns would disappear into points, the point sky would really have to rotate around its polar axis, once a day, as the picture shows, and the constant shifts of the planets would only be daily changes in this orbit in the space of the Earth.





Fig. 6

Attention!

In all images, the North Pole N is at the bottom, contrary to previous custom. Reason: If you imagine the full sphere as Noben as usual, the ground will unconsciously arch up to S, which is now at the top, above your head. This makes the image correct! In all equator sections, the view is towards the south. 005

You have found this out in simple logic without any astronomical knowledge, dreamed up in your imagination. - But no, it is reality! The giant globe of the Earth, which is generally...

considered as the plaything of the sun, it delimits all existence; everything imaginable lies within its body, it is "Mother Earth", its interior is the birthplace of all existence. This sky cannot therefore be seen as a "sphere" standing above the head, but since the reality of the image extends it over the firmament as a bell, the visual paths to the stars are



enlargement of the star sphere to the "firmament"

Fig. 7

starry sky.

The upper stars on the star sphere send their radiation to the observer in the wide curves shown, as explained in the next section. As optics teaches, innumerable rays emanate from every point in all directions; only one ray is shown. But these incoming rays are seen by the eye from a great distance in the direction in which the ray entered. The eye knows nothing of the extension, whether straight or curved, as any mirror proves. In space, the eye believes the reflection to be real. In this way, the sky is optically inverted and enlarged, as in a magic mirror. Illusion!

wie ... schunel

If this star sphere moves anti-clockwise, the illusory firmament rolls around the observer from left to right, i.e. (looking south) east-west. The star sphere is hollow, contains being and heaven.

Curves. You make a drawing of the incredible situation and find these curves to be completely correct, even the gradations of the angles against the horizon, i.e. the heights of the stars, are exactly the same as on a solid sphere outside. The picture remains the same, here as there; the picture cannot decide anything about the space.

The so-called sphere proofs do not clarify anything, because the hollow earth is also spherical. Now the American astronomer Prof. Morrow, as an expert, has proven the curvature of the earth and the concave shape of the crust through infallible earth measurements, so that the giant sky can only be a sphere on the inside. But this gives you a completely different idea of the dimensions and space.

We have no instrument for direct spatial research. Our knowledge remains logic through measurements. You will certainly see a tiny light on the sea at great distances as a star, and you will certainly recognize a large red lantern on a distant ship as a rising moon; that is our spatial knowledge. But you say that this illusion is immediately explained when measurements are taken from two ships. Triangulation shows the distance to the meter. Certainly, because for such small distances the sides of the triangles are straight. But a "direct" determination of the distance from the equally large disks of the sun or moon remains impossible, since this cannot be determined with a telescope; there is no optical rangefinder. The telescope only enlarges the images, including errors. It shows the stars only as flickering points. A small sun on Earth looks the same in a telescope as a giant sun 150 million km away, since the image seen depends only on the viewing angle; the small moon nearby looks just as big. No means determines the true size, but the calculation is logically wrong because of false assumptions in the image.

The new image you have discovered may rob you of the majesty of the immense starry sky, but it increases your own value in the universe; you are no longer just a speck of dust on the speck of earth that falls into the sun, but you are a small but important cog in this body. Which idea makes you happier? Please answer me.

The "stars" are radioactive masses of the star sphere, hence the fixed positions since pre-human

Time. When these metals enter into chemical processes, so-called "new stars" suddenly appear or light up and fade away, without catastrophes. The Milky Way is the accumulation of most of the deposits in the former equatorial circle, as a split ring, whereas the nearby stars, comets and planets are real hollow spheres that orbit around. The starry sky is the child of "Mother Earth". All changes in the image sky appear before our eyes as soon as this small sphere rotates, if the optical distortions are also taken into account. Therefore, you have absolutely no reason to believe in the cosmic spatial "rearrangements" and orbits in order to interpret these processes. The earth's world allows all movements to be real, which alone gives it the precedence of the greatest probability, especially due to the smallness of the spatial relationships.




Fig. 8.

The radio waves.

The waves emanate from A and reach directly to C. But now the dead zone CD follows and only at D do the waves come down from above, where they are supposed to sweep around the crust. But in the earth's world it is clear how these waves move directly to America etc. and also arrive from above. The circling of the earth's crust is only conceivable within the damming wall, never outside.

The Fata Morgana.

In hot regions, a landscape suddenly appears, often reversed, often correct, of whose presence one knows nothing. Then it disappears, it was an illusion; for example, in the Sahara one sees oases that disappear as one approaches. Up to now, this has been explained by air refraction, whereby these areas are raised above the horizon. Perhaps one day, using the means of physics, it will be possible to see directly through space! - According to a report, an apparatus has been invented in America with which one can see over 1000 km across the ocean, but the invention is kept secret for naval purposes. (?)


Until now, they saw the world view as a hollow earth with an inner sky, which only appears optically as a wide, rotating image sky.

Consider, by the way, the impossible dimensions of these catastrophes of new stars! What is discovered through the stellar spectra proves no truths, but only the one fact that the incoming light consists of such waves; we know nothing about the nature of the outgoing light. Especially not if the light supposedly only arrives in millions of years! As is well known, however, these distances are not measured, but estimates, as are the sizes of these billions of points. These estimates are based on angles with the help of the so-called Earth's orbit or "parallax". But if there is no Earth's orbit, the estimate is an optical error. The points could just as well be close and small, which is impossible to detect due to the lack of direct spatial measurement. You can safely assume that the "stellar sphere" consists of billions of such radium-uranium deposits.

Now you say that there are double stars that can be seen with a telescope, which move and orbit each other. But there are very few of them, a sign that they are something else. Outside the stellar sphere there are small spheres that formed in space and that orbit the stellar sphere every day as small "moons". These spirals shift and we here get the impression of "orbiting" as double stars. What are these bodies? As soon as they are involved in the most violent chemical processes, they emerge in wide spirals, more and more, and appear with a tail, we call them "comets". A huge number of such spheres float inside there unnoticed, because they are not exposed to light, cold; suddenly a comet appears, some periodically, others randomly. The "infinite space" in which they disappear is only the stellar space when they have cooled down again. The variable stars and the colors prove nothing in the spectrum; they are different metals. If our instruments were more sensitive, the starry sky would be nothing but a dotted white light, without any gaps, because there are countless layers. An eye there would also see our earth's crust as nothing but countless "stars," because in our soil too there are countless radium layers at every depth, which emit radiation that produces light there. The world of space everywhere deceives the eye and the best instruments.

The true location of the stars.

Think of the earth's equator! Here, when you look east, you see the starry sky moving upwards over the course of the hours, and after 6 hours the horizon stars of the east are at the zenith, whereas the two polar stars in the north and south remain in the same place because this is where the axis lies. If you transfer the image to the inner true star sphere above your head, it is positioned so that this axis floats horizontally in a north-south direction. All the stars of the horizon are up there on the edge of the star sphere, the zenith star is lowest, i.e. closest to you on the half of the slope, and the other stars are exactly in the meridians at the top, according to the angle. The image is inverted.



Soil Fig. 9

Side view at the equator.

Above the observer is the sphere of stars, which rotates daily around the polar axis P. In front is south, behind north, to the left east, to the right west. Like the sphere of stars, the firmament above the earth rotates east-west according to arrows from 1 to 5. As the lines of sight show, the lines of incidence deviate in the distance; the observer recognizes the image and the heights of the stars according to the angles from the earth's surface. The firmament is an enlarged copy of the sphere of stars above. The hemisphere facing the observer, the lower part, is always visible; all stars at the equator 1. P. 5 (circle of vision) appear at the earth's final circle or the horizon; 3 is at the zenith (above the head); the imaginary parallel belt to the equator, namely areas 2, 3, 4, is higher in relation to the horizon in accordance with the angle. This is how the firmament is created as shown below.




Fig. 10

In northern latitude.

The same situation with the celestial axis NS turned. Now the lower half of the view is tilted, so the firmament rotates around the axis, N 2 to the viewer; Nam the sky is the North Star, S is invisible, apparently "down". The visibility circles (hours) are indicated by the hatching.




Fig. 11

At the North Pole.

The NS world axis is vertical at the pole. The entire northern sky is visible above.

Now imagine that you are at a northern latitude of 45 degrees. The northern Pole Star is 45 degrees to the north, but you can no longer see the southern one because it is in the direction of the upper axis that runs from the pole through the upper center of the world and therefore ends in the "night side" that was previously under the ground. At the top, the northern Pole Star is low in the meridian 45 degrees to the north, the zenith star is lowest, and the two horizon stars north-south are at the top of the stellar equator of the visual hemisphere.

At the North Pole you see the Polaris at the zenith, the axis of the world is vertical, so you can now see the real equatorial stars on the horizon, as well as most of the planets, as they orbit in this way. The sky here rotates horizontally from east to west.




Fig. 12

position of the moon

The true position of the moon can be found, for example, at a full moon at midnight in the south, if you imagine a slice through space from you to the moon and transfer the angle from the zenith above to the inner sphere that hangs down. The moon is then 60 degrees above the ground in the south, and 30 degrees higher from the zenith to the south. This is also the true path of the sun, slanted east-south-west to form an upper circle, the east equator hanging south and ending at the west equator, whereupon the sun circles upwards to the night side over the equator, night. In winter it makes the smallest arc, and in summer the largest, if you pay attention to the slanted axis, the way the star sphere hangs, i.e. spherical sections.





Fig. 13
movements of the stars.

The figure shows the changes in the heights of the stars in the sky and the lengths of day and night. For the sake of clarity, the central sphere is shown enlarged. In orbit 1, the star (sun or moon) is low, the visible arc of the path is short. For the sun, this means the shortest days and longest nights, as shown in the hatching. At 2, it would be the equinox, at 3, the longest days and shortest nights; northern summer. Observer A sees all the stars in the hemisphere HHZ, namely all of the circle HH on the horizon, star PO at P, stars 321 in the direction of the arrows in the distance. The upper star sphere CSD is apparently "under the ground". Each star is only visible in space CAD. The axis of rotation for the sky is S, PO, N, so that Po is the polar star. The zenith star Z rotates around Po as a circumpolar star. Observer B stands on the earth's equator, his half of vision is NBS, so here the sun is always in the same daily arc, always 12 hours long.

3. The space curves

The investigations to date have led you to the "assumption" of curved lines of sight from the earth to the stellar space. Thinking now tries to doubt this assumption of a curved light path, because it is known that light propagates in a straight line, and therefore demands proof of the curves. It is true that light curves could be shown experimentally in layered liquids, but you will object that space is only a very fine mass of gas, if not empty ether space, in which these laws of refraction of light do not apply.

Let us get to the bottom of the term "light". Light is a wave movement, as the interference experiments prove. In order to propagate such a movement, a



II. The world view according to Copernicus.

The Copernican picture can of course only be a mental aid, because it would be impossible to represent it correctly in space. The dimensions of the sun would be 700 times those of all the planets, the diameter 107 times the diameter of the earth, the planets would have to be specks of dust at enormous distances. If you draw the sun 4 cm in size, the earth would only be 0.37 mm at a distance of 4 meters, Jupiter would be 22 meters, Saturn 41 meters, Neptune 130 meters away. A "correct" representation would therefore have to show the sun as a microscopic dot. And even this entire "solar system" is only like a pinhead in space from the Copernican view; such dots would be at distances of about 65 kilometers, or the solar system would be like a speck of dust in the middle of a large hall!

Aether is extremely elastic and therefore hard, but at the same time extremely thin and therefore nothing because of the movements of the stars, which seemed unthinkable, which is why the electromagnetic theory was invented to explain it, because nothing can be observed directly in such fine details. However, if the particles of the aether are so far apart, a "long-range effect" of the electrons must be assumed, which is rejected by researchers. This is why the latest research assumes that electrons are emitted (shedding) from the sun, which immediately raises the question of how these finest particles are supposed to overcome the distance of 150 million km from the sun to the earth at a speed of 120,000 km/sec without breaking away.

Space is 270 degrees cold and the glowing mass of the sun is said to have been in this space for many millions of years. In order for the heat to flow away, the ether would have to be a conductor, but it never heats up because it is a non-conductor. The sun also does not emit "light" because space is dark, as aeronauts observe; stars can be seen from a deep shaft in broad daylight. Light therefore only arises in the atmosphere when the aforementioned solar electrons impact on the air atoms to set them into vibration; this is the daylight.

The sun can therefore be a cold hollow ball, as cosmogony shows, which emits nothing but electrons, whose bombardment of the air generates heat, which is then only generated when it hits bodies (glacial fire!). Space would therefore be a highly dangerous place for us to live without light in a fiery hell. So when you see the stars in the sky, these points of light are only about 100 km away from you, i.e. at the end of the dense air. You cannot see any further than that, and the ancients were not entirely wrong about the star sphere! You know nothing about everything that lies behind it and what is going on.

My claim that the "starlights" are only 100 km high in the atmosphere could lead a measuring triangle artist to the bold "refutation of my hypothesis" that a zenith star from two places 200 km apart would be measured at angles of only 45 degrees, which is not the case, since it is an immeasurably distant star and remains at almost 90 degrees from the horizon. This is how the heights of the northern lights or meteors are measured, for example. But the stars are not stuck up there on a fixed

places, as the ancients believed, but the viewing location moves with the observer, as Figs. 3, 7 and 16 explain. The point of light "develops" with the electron curve only at the observation location; it is only a vibration in the air atoms. Each location has different curves, so its star point is also somewhere else above. The two observers above thus measure two very different light locations according to the curves. At a distance of only 200 km there is no angular difference, both measure 90 degrees. Both viewing curves are parallel to the zenith line. What those measuring very far away measure as angular differences are only the angles of the heights due to the spherical shape. (Fig. 3.) Just as countless electron radiations fall into the atmosphere, countless points of light from the star are created at the edge of the atmosphere, but not as physical places that can be measured, but only as vibrations. One light is seen by 1000 observers in a wide area, each has their own image.

As is well known, we only see that which shines, that is, in space only that which either throws off electrons itself or is struck by them in "reflected light", which only produces a reflection in large bodies. Such small dark balls whiz in countless numbers in space, get into the atmosphere, heat up and fall to earth in pieces as meteors, or they only shine in space in reflected light as shooting stars. How strange the ideas are in new thinking was shown by a question: whether these meteors in my globe are perhaps pieces — which fall to us from the "other side". If the questioner had mentally thought over to the other part of the earth, he would have to laugh heartily at the idea that a boulder had flown up into the sky there. Gravity pulls "downwards" everywhere.

The sun and stars are self-luminous, emitting electrons. Nevertheless, the sun is cold, like radium. The corona is evidence of this radiant haze in its irregular shape, the prominences are water vapors decomposed by radiation (see spots). As a result of this constant elementary formation and splitting, the solar spectrum contains most elements in free form, as the chemical table at the end explains. The fact that the sun's surface rotates at different speeds, the equator faster than the poles, does not prove that it is liquid. The surface consists of a thin layer of mist of these splitting elements, which naturally collect in rings and move along their own trajectories. There are no straight lines, and matter also circles in the force space of the earth. The sunspots are therefore located deeper, namely on the solid sublayer. The

Spots prove that the sun is small, as they are impossible at a length of 400,000 km. The electrons travel at a speed of 120,000 km/sec, but only very short distances, simply because of their fineness.

This solar power can only reach us from a close distance, because you can already see the difference in the paths from the new image, because there is the greatest heat at the equator and cold at the pole. Furthermore, you now understand the solution to the riddle of eternal solar energy, because it cannot be lost in the Earth's space. But since "sunlight" cannot be compared with our light, because in space there is no light at all, but radiation, the light curves in space are based on a new foundation as a completely new physical knowledge of space.

It is now only a matter of proving the curved paths of the electrons, which is not difficult because cathode rays are deflected by magnetic fields. The rays demonstrably follow the parallelogram of forces or the sections of the wave spheres. Now you know that both the earth's crust as an electrical mass and the star sphere with the sun throw electrical shocks against each other, thus transforming the medium of space into billions of layers, so-called wave crests and valleys. This is known from the sound explanation. The solar electrons are now hurled through these spherical shells. As the drawing shows, the vertical particles go directly to the earth (zenith), the obliquely falling ones are deflected, and the more so the more obliquely they first hit the sun's fountain of electrons; the outermost rays that hit us come to the poles or




Fig. 14 Electron curve paths.

the furthest places of the equator, so only that hemisphere of the earth is illuminated by the sun. The actual rays of the sun in space could be imagined as the bristles of a paintbrush that is pressed into a spherical bowl, whereby the bristles bend. The lines of force of a magnet are arranged in exactly the same way.




Fig. 15

Magnet.

If you place a sheet of paper over the poles of a very strong magnet NS and sprinkle it with fine iron filings, you will see these lines, just as electrons move in space. The figure can now be thought of spatially on all sides of the globe, as a section of the equator. Let the sun be S, but the reflecting pole N, i.e. night.

If you think through this spatial image with the drawing, you will easily find that the front daylight rays remain as heat, but the side rays continue to circle in space, bending around, and reach the night side of the bark. Of course, up there in the space above the night side, when they hit bodies such as the moon or planets, light is created (not otherwise, in the gas), but the deep bark is in shadow because these rays bend up. Night is where no electrons arrive, because they strive inwards on their way back to the center. This creates an electron-free channel exactly opposite the position of the sun, an apparent cone of shadow, the supposed "earth's shadow" through which the full moon moves during a lunar eclipse. Since the

If the moon always shines on the side that is hit by the circling solar electrons, it turns phases towards the earth's crust; the "image" is thought to be transferred vertically everywhere, exactly distributed as a fountain.




Fig. 16

The lunar eclipse.

The circle OW represents the earth's equator. Since the earth is at rest, the sky moves from east to west, i.e. anti-clockwise, counterclockwise. The detailed description of the illusion as "sky" is known from the previous. The sun and moon move around the middle every day, and so does the night channel. But since the moon lags 12 degrees behind every day, the shadow overtakes it, or the moon that lags behind dips in on the right, is then covered and exits on the left. If the orbits were not strongly displaced spirals and the channel were not fine, a lunar eclipse would occur every full moon. But if the spirals of the sun and moon do not intersect at full moon, the moon always passes above or below the channel. The figure can be imagined three-dimensionally in spherical space. On the night side, at W, you can see how high

Mountains slowly move into the shaded night as the sun and its light heart move to the left; similarly, in the morning at E the light first appears on high mountain peaks (Bergé exaggerated).

— On the sunny side, the heights of the sun can be recognized by the angle of incidence, depending on the observer's location. If one imagines the same angles transferred to the "assumed" outer crust, then these angles all point to a very distant sun. This is where the optical error in measurements with straight light paths comes from. The starry sky in the middle is optically simulated as a huge firmament due to circular light paths.

If you now see this image of the moon or the sun in the sky, you immediately assume that the cause is the infinite straight line of extension in space. This is how the Copernican idea and the immeasurable distances came about, because the sun was measured at two opposite points of the equator almost at right angles, so that a triangle of the diameter of the earth with two almost parallel sides was found, thus "infinite". But now you saw the curves, so the two sides do not point straight but curved into the earth. You assume that the sun is 40 degrees above the horizon, etc., but the lines all point towards the sun inwards, just as if you were thinking in a Copernican way. As a result of these measurements based on the image and the assumption of straight light paths, the distances and sizes, etc. of the stars became errors; now the delusion of the supernatural world evaporates like a ghost before the light.

Now you will ask, who can prove this novel about electrons? Science itself! The northern lights have a special green spectral line that can only be achieved artificially in a special way, so that there is little doubt about its accuracy in nature. Prof. Vegard let cathode rays (like solar electrons) pass through a very fine dust of frozen nitrogen gas, which made it glow, and now the spectrum showed exactly the green line he was looking for. This proves that frozen nitrogen at a temperature of 250 degrees Celsius exists at an altitude of 100 km; consequently, dust of frozen hydrogen appears even higher up. This dense matter follows the impacts of gravity and electrical waves, it is layered in a round shape and the solar electrons travel through the layers like projectiles. The paths must be circular. The cause of the electrons must be

be close to us. Moreover, Prof. Kohlhörster and others demonstrated radiation from stellar space that falls perpendicular to the earth's crust at all locations (see proofs), consequently these electrons can only originate from one central point in the earth's universe, namely from the stellar sphere alone.

In this way I have given you the best proof of the earth, because if the boundary of a full spherical earth consists only of the finest frozen gases, then it cannot possibly have flown around the sun in empty space for millions of years, otherwise this breath would have long since dissipated and there is no way it could have been replaced from the earth's surface; all water would also have evaporated long ago, as can be proven in a vacuum. All life would also have died out long ago, so that your own existence provides striking evidence of a resting earth crust, with air protection and a water cycle within the closed space of the world.

What you are told about Fata Morgana, refraction and light refractions has nothing to do with the cosmic ray curves. Our measurements on Earth only cover a visibility of a few kilometers. Our line of sight also leads upwards in a slight curve, which is why you first see a lighthouse at the top.




Fig. 17 Here

we can imagine bundles of rays emanating from all points of the star sphere or sun, so that an infinitely fine network is created. At the same time it is clear how a hemisphere of light arrives at the observer's lower position and spreads out over the whole space as the sky. The spread of the sun's disk over space is the scattered daylight, the main line radiation is its ray image.

If you look at the countless lines of electron radiation or the light paths in Figure 15, you might get the impression that chaos is being created, not a calm image. But you know that countless lines of light come into your eye from a landscape, all of which are arranged in a strict order, so that images can be taken with a camera. But not only you, but countless photographers can fix the image, and the image can be seen everywhere. This proves that all the countless lines of light penetrate each other without leaving a trace, because the lines never collide with each other in an inhibiting or destructive way, but rather, due to their infinite subtleties, they pass each other without leaving a trace. The countless electron radiations in space behave in the same way, and that is why you see really clear images everywhere. Only the incomprehensible subtlety of the electrons and light waves achieves these apparent miracles. Is it not just as wonderful when the rays of light in the tiny focal point of a lens meet? a huge landscape are condensed and if this focal point can now be pulled apart on the cinema screen to form a large, clear image?! In the same way, the world and its focal point of thoughts are spread out on the surface of the earth in all clarity.

4. The sky

Astronomy, which was previously known only to keen nature lovers, will now become common knowledge among the educated; everyone should know the purpose and goal of the world's workings, but also live according to this knowledge. Then things will be better.

You know the size of space, 12,700 km in diameter, so the star sphere is 6,000 km above everyone's head, one half of which is visible to them, namely the upper edge stars as the edge stars of the firmament bell, i.e. on the horizon. The sphere looks turned inside out and the sun moves in an arc in the sky in the same way. Don't worry about the real sizes of the planets and their exact distances, because these play no role in the mechanism. Today we do not yet know the optical conditions in space in detail.

The order of the planets in space from the earth's crust is as follows: first the moon, then the sun with its two satellites Venus and Mercury, then Mars, asteroids, Jupiter, Saturn, Uranus, Neptune, and finally the comet space around the stellar sphere. The sun and stars are also found within this sphere in small dimensions. The inner planets Neptune etc. thus move in tiny circles every day, because they are soft and emit less energy, while the moon, as a large, hard sphere, moves in the largest orbit around the world's axis and around the earth's crust. Just as you only see half of the stellar sphere in space, this daily orbit also only appears on this hemisphere. But you only see light in space; what is dark or where no light comes from does not exist, for example the countless meteorites. So if the sun or a celestial body crosses this equator, you can no longer see it despite its presence in space due to the lack of light, just as you can't see anything at night.




Fig. 18

Times of day on Earth.

Position of the sun at 1: day side ABC, movement of the sun in the direction of the arrow, hence C sunrise, A sunset, B midday, M midnight. Observer in B: sunrise at 4 above, midday at 1, sunset at 2, midnight at 3. Rising exactly in the sense of the slow appearance of the disk at 4 above, setting in the sense of immersion in the invisible half CMA.

Sun at 3: The upper hemisphere AMC day, sun altitudes as the angles, observer B sees the starry sky there in the sense of the altitude angles according to the curves, but the apparent movement occurs as shown below EASTWEST:

3300

Imagine the moon as a sphere of about 50 km 3500 km, the sun (as a sphere of about 60 km 4000 km, Jupiter and Saturn about 20 km 5000 km, the sphere of stars about 1500 km 5600 km from the earth's crust. The thickness of the earth's crust is probably 200 km, because for every 40 meters of depth the heat increases by 1°, so that at 150 km evaporation without pressure would begin. This is where the radiation zone begins. True astronomy is only knowledge of mechanics; a matter for scholars. Measurements, distances, sizes — are




Fig. 19

Ellipsspirale.

This is exactly how you see the sun's path in the sky. The connection between all the noon points on the star belt is the ecliptic, the drawn oblique circular path, the path of the sun's lagging behind throughout the year. The upper circular paths are winter for observers north, middle paths spring, lower summer, then back middle autumn and at the top winter again. Just as the solar day arcs look enlarged on earth, so do these spirals (cinematic image of the earth's wall!). The seasons are shown separately in the next drawings. The axis of rotation for the light hemisphere remains NS. Note the polar illuminations, in the northern summer the sun is always visible. The moon also moves back and forth every month.

Always look at the picture in the sky as it really moves! The moon changes its position both horizontally and vertically. It remains 13 degrees behind the star point towards the east every day, so it takes 27 days and 8 hours to return. It also fluctuates greatly in height every month, because it swings 5 degrees around the ecliptic, i.e. the sun's path on the zodiac. The moon in the

The actual monthly orbital line in the picture forms a spiral, from the highest to the lowest circle and back. Now imagine this spiral turned inside out in space. The sun moves in exactly the same way, only it lags behind the stars by 1 — — degree a day, and therefore takes a year to move back. The limiting altitude is 23% degrees north, the limiting depth 232 degrees south of the equator, it fluctuates vertically as a spiral, 47 degrees back and forth from our perspective. But the distance of the sun also changes; in December it circles closest to us in the largest circle, in June in the smallest and furthest circle. Consequently, you can see this spiral as conical, with the wide part in the south. Since the sun really moves axially in space from north to south, this is how the seasons arise. Summer in the northern half becomes when the sun spirals into this half, then winter occurs in the southern half, because the electrons have to travel twice the distance. This solar spiral rotates as a whole, and therefore does not always meet the world equator at the same point, i.e. the vernal equinox moves. The precession of the equinoxes circles the sky, the zodiac, in 26,000 years. All planets, moons, comets, etc. move westward in similar conical spirals. Since we take the sphere of fixed stars as the norm, whose movement we call "a day", the stars lag behind it, and logically the closer they are to this center, the less they lag behind.




Fig. 20

Nord-Winter.

Northern summer.

As a result, the eastern retrograde (so-called copern. orbit) takes longer, so that Neptune only returns to the starting point in 165 years, Saturn takes 29 years, Jupiter 12 years, Mars 687 days, our moon 27 days. This apparent Neptune orbit really consists

of 165 times 365 daily circles, which shift imperceptibly in space. I think that this explanation of the orbit will seem more probable to you than these "turns" in all the impossible inclinations, ellipses, directions and capricious speeds. These shifts are called the first inequality.




Fig. 21

Circle images.

The figure shows the Copernican idea. The earth moves in orbits 1, 2, 3, 4. If you now focus on a target star or Jupiter, the above screeching sound appears in the year, or if you look at it from an angle, a loop. On the outside, you can imagine the starry sky, where the sun moves from west to east every year. At 2, J is in conjunction, at 4, in opposition.

Now comes the second inequality, supposedly due to the apparent movement of the earth around the sun, which is why the visible positions of the planets are supposed to change, similar to how you see the perspective shifted from a circling vehicle. Always imagine looking south, so left east, right west, the movement of the sky from left to right. The most puzzling thing for the ancient Greek scholars and even Copernicus was the appearance of the "epicycles", which are supposed to represent an image of the earth's orbit. As an example, imagine a tree a few meters in front of you and in the

A house in the distance. Now walk in a circle with a diameter of a few meters and aim from the tree to the house, and you will see the image of the circle by the displacement of the tree against the house. Now, according to the Copernican view, the same conditions exist in space: the tree is a planet, the house is the part of the starry sky, the circle is the Earth's orbit. Logical thinking, but completely wrong!

Why, because we are not moving at all and the hollow earth shows different conditions, as all the evidence makes clear. Appearances are deceptive. What astronomers actually see in the sky are not "circular images", but only loops. For us, therefore, it can only be a matter of establishing such loops in the hollow earth system, rather than any disturbances. These loops are regular; during opposition (night) they go from east to west (so-called retrograde), whereas during conjunction (day) they go from west to east (so-called prograde), always in the opposite direction to the apparent "earth orbit".

However, this orbit of the earth is really not marked by anything other than the sun's shift in the sky from west to east; everything else is imagination. In the hollow world, the sun actually lags 1 degree behind the stars in the daily spirals. The planets, on the other hand, lag steadily less behind from Mars to Neptune, so they need about 2 to 165 years to complete the remaining "orbit" of the zodiac. In the course of the year, the sun must therefore pass by everything with its radiance, which cannot happen without leaving a trace given its actual proximity and electrical strength.

The picture in the sky can be seen without any theory as follows. Suppose Mars is to the right of the sun, so it rises shortly before the sun. In relation to the stars it is then moving right, west-east. Now it is moving further and further away as a result of the sun moving faster to the east, because the sun moves back 1 degree a day, while Mars only moves 0.5 degrees. Mars therefore rises earlier and earlier before the sun, its speed becomes slower until it becomes stationary at a distance of 137 degrees in western elongation, i.e. it moves as quickly as the stars. Copernican, it stands still. - Now the movement becomes retrograde, Mars moves against the zodiac, for about 70 days from east to west. The movement is fastest when Mars is 180 degrees from the sun in opposition.

(at night). As the western elongation increases, Mars and the Sun now approach each other from the east. The movement slows down and at 137 degrees eastern elongation Mars becomes stationary for the second time. Soon after, it approaches the Sun again with increasing speed in a right-handed motion, which it now reaches at the **r e** highest speed in conjunction. Now the process begins again, the sequence described lasts 780 days. For Jupiter the period lasts 399 days, for Saturn 378, for Uranus and Neptune about 368 days, called synodic orbits. The times of retrograde motion are: for Mercury 18 days, Venus 42 days, Mars 70 days, Jupiter 119 days, Saturn 136 days, Uranus 150 days, Neptune 158 days. The angles vary from 16 degrees for Venus to 3 degrees for Neptune.

No one sees more of the truth than the image. The Copernican reinterpretation is an invention. Now let the image be true, the movement real, and follow the interpretation in the earthly world in exactly the same way.

The picture of the world shows the effect in such a way that Mars in "conjunction" "leads" from west to east towards a central position of the stars. But what is this advance really? Only a slower movement of the day (east-west)! The sun's radiation has pushed Mars out into a wider orbit, which it therefore takes longer to reach. For the sake of clarity, let us assume that Mars is immobile in relation to the sun, like Neptune, for example. The sun then moves 1 degree to the left towards the east every day; after a year, the two poles of the sun are 90 degrees away from Mars, and it is in the indifference zone.

But after half a year the opposite pole (inflow) is at Mars. It is "opposition". Mars is pushed into smaller orbits, so it now rushes forward towards the west, it becomes "retrograde" - that is, it rushes along its smaller paths more quickly during the day. Now this pole also moves away towards the east, so Mars becomes normally slower again. This can be seen as a transition to "standstill". And now the sun comes from the west again. It pushes Mars out into a wider orbit again; the shift takes place in a clockwise direction towards the east, as at the beginning. The epicycle is therefore only the effect of the sun's pressure as a pendulum. The planet is also inhibited because it has to pass through the force waves of the sun and the star sphere.

In the starry sky, these loops are only small, the retrogrades of Mars are about 14°, those of Neptune only 3°. This has nothing to do with the supposed distance. Rather, the inner, younger planets are less strongly influenced and displaced electrically. o.C




Fig. 22

The illusion of the planets' moon orbits. The picture shows Jupiter, which will only enter the zodiac from west to east in 12 years. orbits eastwards, and is thus almost stationary for this observation. For the sake of clarity, the figure shows this in a sequential manner. This is how Venus and the sun behave. The circular lines above are to be thought of as being continued, the whole as a spiral. Moon 1 now moves in daily "circles" in a spiral towards A. Jupiter, meanwhile, always remains in its circular orbit, daily it circles the sky, east-west. The moon therefore stays behind. Now it absorbs energy from Jupiter and becomes faster. So it moves in a spiral towards B. The energy is lost, the path becomes longer, it stays behind, comes to C and from here in the shortest path of the day to 2. Meanwhile Jupiter (or Venus) came to 2 and now the same game of pendulum-like forces begins. The moon moves to D and E and 3 etc. In the sky, this movement left and right appears with Jupiter as an apparent "orbit" of the moons around the planet.

The other side also shows the comets' courses in countless spirals. Depending on the daily adjustments in the path, the "apparent comet parabola" etc., the various orbital suitability and directions, will thus arise - despite daily equatorial "circles" always east-west. This is all an illusion in space.


The distances from the sun, however, vary considerably up to the stationary position, from 23° for Mercury to 137° for Mars, 100° for Neptune. The epicycle therefore means the oscillation of the movement from the greatest to the smallest force and back.

At opposition, the planet is supposedly much closer to the Earth, by the diameter of the Earth's orbit, than at conjunction. The larger disk proves absolutely nothing about the fact of optical illusions. On the night side, the rays of Mars reach us freely; they are expanded by the inflowing power of the sun's antipole, enlarged image. But at conjunction during the day, the rays of Mars have to pass through the flood of solar power, which reduces the image. This can be proven by refractions.

The measurements of astronomers in this area are also errors due to deceptive "appearances".

How does the picture relate to the orbit of Venus? First of all, think in Copernican terms. Venus rises at most 3 to 4 hours before the sun or sets after it; its greatest elongation is 46 degrees. At the time of its eastern elongation in the evening sky, it appears as half Venus, illuminated to the right by the sun, which is on the right. Venus now approaches the sun, passes it in inferior conjunction (in front) as a dark disk, its diameter is largest because it is close to the earth. At times it passes from east to west on the disk of the sun (transits of Venus). Soon afterwards, Venus becomes visible as the morning star, to the right of the sun, rising earlier and thus illuminated to the left. The sickle grows until it becomes half Venus at 46 degrees. Now Venus approaches the sun again, finally disappearing in its rays; full Venus with the smallest diameter of the disk. Now it moves to the left of the sun with a bright glow, goes from this upper conjunction (behind) more and more eastward, and remains stationary as an evening star at 46 degrees east elongation. And so on.

If Venus becomes visible from behind as an evening star shortly after the superior conjunction, its apparent movement (copern.) is fastest and clockwise, west-east. The further it moves away from the sun, the slower its movement becomes; at a distance of 46 degrees it slowly approaches again, while still moving clockwise against the stars. If it



III. The world conception according to Neupert.

approached the sun to 28 degrees, it comes to a standstill, it becomes stationary. But now it begins to approach the sun with a retrograde, backward, east-west movement, and at an increasing speed, until the lower conjunction in front. Then Venus appears as the morning star to the west, to the right of the sun, moves away at a decreasing speed to 28 degrees, and becomes stationary for the second time. This period of retrograde movement lasts 42 days. From the place of the western standstill, a slow clockwise movement begins, whereby Venus, because its movement is initially slower than that of the sun, lags behind it by 46 degrees. Now, with increasing clockwise movement, it begins to approach the sun until it reaches the upper conjunction for a new beginning. The synodic period is 584 days. And now think of this movement in the real world of the earth.

The planets Mercury and Venus behave in exactly the same way as Mars to Neptune. The so-called inferior conjunction corresponds here to the opposition. Venus is in front of the sun, whose energy drives it to move faster. Therefore it moves from east to west (so-called retrograde) and is to the right of the sun. The great distance reduces the power, Venus stays behind, swings towards the east, passes the sun "behind" and finally arrives at 46° east. But now the sun catches up with the slow planet in its retrograde, gives it new power, so that it rushes forward again to the west to play a new game. You see, the apparent "orbit" around the sun is not Copernican, but moon-like, in that both planets represent "sun moons" that swing back and forth in adjustments during the course of the daily spirals. In the upper conjunction, Venus is in the same space behind the sun as Mars, and it behaves in the same way, lagging behind. Most of the planets' moons behave in the same way. At the back in the gravitational area of the center of the world, the moons stay behind, in front they rush past, apparently orbiting the planet, but in reality they only swing around it in the changing course of the day. The few "retrograde" moons swing back and forth. Conversely, which is again clear because they are always the outermost moons, which almost escape the force of the planet.

The "moons" are really planets, small balls that run in the same orbit, hence from

main planets are guided to the side. The result is oscillation around the normal orbit.

Day spirals.




Fig. 23

Apparent ellipses of comets.

The figure above can be thought of as continuing to form a spiral. The comet now makes its second turn as a diurnal circle, but remains further to the right at the same time of observation; it appears to advance in the sky or the constellations. Now comes the 3rd, 4th and 5th turns, the comet moves further and further to the right, so that when a large number of turns are observed, the comet's orbit is visible in the sky. The comet moves back from the sun in the same way, only changing the path of the diurnal orbit turns. This is why comets appear to move in all possible positions, directions and shapes, while the real orbit is only a spiral, which quickly and strongly distorts horizontally and axially in space as the comet changes its speed.

In exactly the same way, planets move forward in the sky in the apparent orbit of the years; so do their moons, in that they move differently than the planets themselves; they only "oscillate" them.

In this way, all the moons apparently "orbit" their planets. If the advance and lag occur differently, the orbit "seems" to be against the direction, retrograde, as in the Jupiter or Neptune system. However, within one system, two different orbital directions, as with Jupiter, would be impossible. In reality, therefore, there is only one direction in the east-west, only daily spirals with a variable progression, and thus image shifts.

The same is true of the comet's orbit. It cannot possibly be a parabola or a hyperbola, nor an ellipse, but every body in space moves east-west in parallel equatorial circles, but daily. This form oscillates more or less, depending on the conditions in the celestial body.




Fig. 24

The magnetic needles of the earth.

In the earth's shell, these magnetic needles are all parallel, like in a solenoid, whose currents circle the magnetic north pole counterclockwise. If a magnet were imagined inside the full earth, the outer needles would have to be in all directions as shown, because the nearest pole attracts and directs them. Therefore, physics looks for these poles in the air, etc. But if, according to the theory of the earth, only the rotation of the force determines the position, then all the needles position themselves like the magnet, which is imagined as an internal force, so that it appears that there is a magnetic south pole under the ground at the north pole, which attracts the north pole of the needle.

This schematic representation of the directions of all inclination needles shows the full earth on the outside and the hollow earth on the inside. A and B would be

the poles of a terrestrial magnet necessary for the full earth to explain the positions of the needles. The angles to the horizon are the same everywhere, at 1 to 8 inside and outside, but it remains a mystery why the needle is not steep near 4 and 8 or at the equator outside, since it is at the crossroads here."

As a result of the equal position of all world forces, the hollow earth acts as a solenoid and all needles are parallel. The arrowheads are north poles.

The comets with violent processes swing violently, so the daily circle quickly shifts. If you now note these daily shifts at a specific time and connect these places, you will come up with the idea of "comet orbits in copper space".

The absolute equality of the explanation of the movements in the earth's universe proves its truth. The stars merely follow internal forces which are changed by other planets or the sun, etc., from which all the greatest difficulties and disturbances can easily be deduced.

Furthermore, we must never forget that the sphere of fixed stars is also a slightly displaceable sphere in space. Not only does the central sun in it swing around the ideal birthplace of the center of the world, but the sphere of stars also swings along with it, pushing electrically. We cannot therefore speak of fixed points, because the apparently fixed sky also shifts unobservably. This shift has been recognized as aberration.

The star sphere swings in space, like everything else in the world; we therefore have no absolute target point, no fixed sky, as has already been recognized under the name "nutation". It is not the earth's axis that swings, but the celestial axis. And since it also affects the earth's magnetism, the magnetic axis swings too.

At the geographic North Pole, the electric currents circulate clockwise in the earth's soil, as shown in the middle figure. This direction of the earth's currents has been scientifically proven. "The earth's current is a current that runs around the earth's body, which the famous physicist Ampère had already demonstrated. The fact of the earth's magnetism demanded it, but it was thought to be a thermocurrent, since it circles around the earth in the opposite direction to the earth with the apparent movement of the sun, as experiments show. The strength is greatest at midday and smallest at night." So current and the rotation of the earth do not harmonize, whereas in the hollow earth the current and the sun's course are like




Fig. 25

Moon phases.

The figure shows how the space curve lines meet the moon and make it shine at these points. The phase image is seen vertically in the same way everywhere, only the viewing heights change with the location. There is therefore no straight "sideways" vision from the left or right. The outer discs represent the view from the front, i.e. the "face" for us.

The full moon is illuminated from all directions. The image is an equator schmitt, looking south. The daily movement is 82 degrees counterclockwise or anti-clockwise, which for the imaginary earth's crust below results in a celestial movement from left to right or east-west. The new moon moves east-west every day as described. But it remains 12 degrees behind the sun every day. Consequently, after one week it reaches the first quarter on the left, in the next week it becomes full moon (night side); solar radiation only streams up above, invisible, because only when it hits the moon does the light appear or the moon emit electrons. Now continue to the last quarter until the new moon, etc.

X
609
77

above. This provides scientific proof of the impossibility of the rotation of the earth, because the alignment of all driving forces is the first requirement if a flight of millions of years is claimed. No dynamo continues to run with a countercurrent. But the magnetic declination also proves this. "According to Faraday, the famous physicist, the daily variation behaves as if it were caused by two magnetic poles that follow the apparent movement of the sun in the atmosphere." This is only the case in the hollow earth.

Now examine the movement of the earth. Imagine yourself at the North Pole, no matter which sphere. You are standing on just one level, the sun moves from left to right or east-west. Below you is a magnetic South Pole, the north tip of the needle points downwards. Physics explains: the electric currents here rotate in the direction of the clock, clockwise. But, you will say, if the forces are braking against the clockwork, then this earth clock, which is supposed to rotate anti-clockwise, cannot have been running for millions of years. But the physical determination of direction is certain; consequently, the earth cannot rotate and the Copernicus view is not valid. Now think in the earth world! There you see the world forces circling above you, anti-clockwise and above. The sun follows this direction at the equator up there; if you rethink the image, into the image that appears to you, then this sun is circling on the horizon, bottom right, as it really does. The effect of forces is therefore consistent with nature, the sky rotates correctly and the earth's magnetism is correctly explained. Logically speaking, only this form can be correct. At the pole, the sun also swings up and down 47 degrees, so there is day for one year and night for two years; at the equator, the sun's spiral swings only north-south around the zenith circle.

The sun is behind the moon and can be eclipsed if the curved rays cover them. Always think about these curves, because the phases of the moon will only be clear to you this way, because the light can only hit one side, always from different directions in a circle. But then you won't see the phase image from all sides, every "straight line of sight" is deceptive, but you will see the image emerging vertically everywhere as the same "face", like the fountain of the sun.

only the height in the sky changes according to the visual curves. If you think about it properly, you will see Venus correctly positioned in relation to the sun everywhere, because only the vertical zenith image can be seen everywhere in the space of the hemisphere.

The sun is a cold body whose bright glow is only produced by the radiation of electrons. But if the spectra really indicate vapors, then only the shell is gaseous, in a similar way to how radium decays into the elements. The interior of the sun is inhabited and at the temperature of life; the earth's crust must also be **a sun on its outer edge. The moon is not currently involved in these processes, but was certainly once a sun.** Everything swings, even the sun becomes the moon, and the next planet, Mars, will grow into the "sun" one day. The power of the sun over all planets arises from this radiation. This radiation is not lost, but circles in space. On the other side, the electron currents retreat, as long as they have not been used up on earth. This is how the night channel is created, which moves like the sun, i.e. it circles around every day (see figure above). If the moon spiral intersects with the channel, the moon appears darkened. The "earth's shadow" is thus revealed to be an illusion.

The sun periodically reaches maximum spot sizes every 11 years. You know that water vapors float in space as ice needles, and frozen gases as dust, which then clump together like planets and move in circles, driven into space by the polar suction force. The result is an orbit around the axis of the world close to the sphere of stars, then drifting away like a comet, and finally the path to the sun in spirals, with the largest spot formations always beginning on the "back side" of the sun, because the masses come from the interior of space at the back. But if the earth were a planet orbiting the sun, this back side would certainly have to be seen from the front at some point. The structure of the world is not like that! The periods are based on the masses and paths, like the orbits of the planets.

Since the "orbits" are Copernican errors, there is no reason to investigate their regularities; only Kepler's second law is mentioned, according to which a planet near the sun moves more quickly than one far away, in order to compensate for the greater "fall". But what does it mean, for example, for the earth to be near the sun?

The only thing that is certain is that the sun is closest to the crust, and therefore in the largest circles of the day. What is the logical consequence? That it takes longer to make this largest circle than it does two years later in the smallest inner circle. As a result of the longer time, the sun lags further behind the stars than normal or, in Copernican terms, it moves "backwards" or more quickly in an easterly "orbit". Thus this phenomenon is only natural, without any other explanation, without laws.

Astronomers cite the eclipses of Jupiter's moons as proof of the size of the Earth's orbit. It was observed that when Jupiter is in opposition, where it is closest to us, the eclipses occur earlier than when it is furthest from us in conjunction. The difference in the distances is the size of the Earth's orbit, i.e. 300 million km. If Jupiter is close to opposition at night today, then 12 years later it will be in conjunction during the day. From this it was concluded that the light from the distant conjunction position must travel 300 million km further, so the eclipse occurs later. The time was correct. But since we conclude from all considerations that the Copernican world is a mistake, this explanation cannot be correct and the correct number can only be based on chance.

That this was felt is shown by the following sentence in the astronomical work (astronomer Prof. Weiss) Littrow "Wonders of the Heavens" on page 139: "There could well be another reason for the delay in the eclipses of Jupiter's satellites, and it would not be the first example of our science if a phenomenon in the sky had been explained by a hypothesis that was perfectly consistent with all circumstances, but which later turned out to be nothing more than a hypothesis, despite the coincidentally corresponding picture in the sky, and which therefore had to give way to the true explanation as soon as it was recognized as such by irrefutable evidence." This true explanation is provided by the hollow earth, so please!

Why is the eclipse delayed in the Earth's world view? As already discussed, Jupiter's moons do not orbit the planet, but move with Jupiter every day in circles around the world axis or the

Sphere of stars at a known distance. They only change their positions in these pendulum circles because they move differently and also fluctuate in the plane. Therefore, they move in a spiral in front of and behind Jupiter at varying distances; Jupiter is thus eclipsed at times when the moons pass in front of its disk. Observe a particular moon! The process is as explained for Venus. The "earth's orbit" means nothing other than the sun's orbit in a year. The sun therefore has disruptive effects when it is in different positions in relation to Jupiter, as we know from the "retrograde phenomena". During the day in conjunction, the sun attracts the Jupiter system, the orbit becomes longer, so the eclipses occur later than in opposition, where Jupiter moves in the smallest of circles because the sun is on the opposite side at night, where the retrograde solar force pushes the system in. The moons have longer paths during conjunction and are eclipsed later. The Earth's orbit proof turns out to be a mistake.

Now you could raise the objection: when Jupiter is in conjunction (day) in its largest spiral orbit, it is closer to the Earth's crust, so its image as a disk must appear larger. Conversely, however, astronomical measurements show the smallest disk. As is well known, these measurements are based on the angles of the image. This does not correspond to the true proportions. When Jupiter is in opposition at night on the opposite side of the sun, it can send its rays to us unhindered, which is why its disk appears large; but conversely, when Jupiter is in conjunction on the day side, its rays must obviously pass through the sun's fountain, which causes the image to be reduced in size. Or if you want to consider this image as "normal", then when it is in opposition, the returning solar energy causes the image of Jupiter to be stretched out, thus "enlarging" the disk.

This phenomenon of light has nothing in common with the true distance. This distance is also determined according to optical principles. The solar fountain causes changing angles of visibility, depending on the position of Jupiter. At conjunction,

These angles or radiation curves are similar to those of the solar fountain, so the distance appears to be greater, carried along by the sun, so to speak. Jupiter could therefore remain in one place, but the measured "distances" would still be changed due to optical effects as the sun orbits, as astronomers have determined. Disk size and distance are not related. Exactly the same is the case with Mars, so that here too no "earth's orbit" can be derived. To say more would go far beyond the scope of these general explanations for a wide readership.

10
X.G. | If the earth were 150 million km from the sun, the poles would be just as warm as the equator, because these 6000 km are not important. But in the hollow earth, where the electrons work, it plays an immense role whether these rays reach the equator directly (5000 km) or take almost twice the distance on the curved path to the poles; they then get stuck. This is why the polar cold and the fertility at the equator are so great. In ancient times the earth was small because it grows unnoticed. Consequently, tropical climates were everywhere, and palm trees were at the poles. Then the crust expanded, the ice age came; as a setback it contracted again, and we have a medium climate today. So everything in space oscillates between extremes, even people and world views oscillate constantly. A cooling earth would contradict a warming after the ice age. You can see complete harmony in the laws everywhere in the space-earth world.

We can also observe this pendulum movement in the earth's magnetism; the magnetic poles move in a spiral. If the pole were an iron bearing, it could not move, because glowing masses are never magnetic. Magnetism is rather caused by the world forces; the atomic rotations in space are electric currents that magnetize the iron wherever the force axis points. Consequently, this axis moves, or more clearly, the world axis pendulums, as you already know, from the movement of the star sphere. Everything wobbles and sways, everything flows.

Yes, not even matter has permanence, as Saturn proves with its rings. These could not have come into being cosmogonically in space, because only the attraction of

moons would be illegal. The world only builds balls and one such final ball around Saturn was the ring. Now shifts in the forces occurred, crises in space probably, as the asteroid ball also disintegrated. Thus Saturn's crust dissolved, the rings sank to the equator, where they were crushed in space. This could also have been the case with Jupiter with its many moons.

And imagine the picture, the earth's crust will one day dissolve, the rings will sink again, the world will one day become Saturn; inside the star sphere, planets will move around it, around which the rings will rotate: then the center will grow, nourished by the ring matter, the young phoenix will awaken from the old one, the image of "earth" will begin anew, the old song. The star sphere will become earth, inside the same world as today and the eternal spirit will live on within it.

Now you know the true mechanism of the world and recognize yourself as a small but not useless cog in it. That is real astronomy!

5. The attraction

Physics explains the gravity of matter as a mysterious, primordial force that is indecipherable, as the famous Dubois-Reymond revealed in his well-known "Ignorabimus". This force acts in proportion to the masses and inversely to the square of the distance, to infinity. At one time, attraction gathered the primordial nebula mass into suns, but in the "eternal" cycle the nebula must have been created again. The question is: why then has this "primordial force" suddenly disappeared completely? The impossibility of answering this question is evidence against the theory of attraction. An attraction of "matter" cannot be proven anywhere, the largest mountains attract nothing at all, all attempts have failed. The theory of gravitation or the attraction of the matter of celestial bodies is a hypothesis, a fantasy, constructed only to artfully refute Copernicus. "Orbits" can be explained which would otherwise be untenable, because the throwing momentum would only act in a straight line; consequently, a force "must" "pull" this straight line into a circle, and this force must be the attraction of "matter", whether one understood it or not! The attraction of disturbances rests on a completely different basis.

Then Newton found that this attraction mathematically corresponded to the lunar orbit, an unfortunate coincidence, and so the law was proven." But also consider that the distance from the moon, the shape of the orbit, the sizes, etc. contradict the truth, and consequently these calculations are also wrong! Then Neptune was discovered — through disturbances, and now gravity celebrated its triumphs. And yet these disturbances are explained in exactly the same way as simple "electrical" influence effects within the earth's world. As soon as you use the word "attraction" to explain something, you are entering the realm of fable.

All you know is the "heaviness" of the material or the fall to the ground, and that a kilogram weighs 5 grams more at the poles, but that it becomes lighter in the depths of the earth. Likewise, gravity decreases at high altitudes, so it is due to vibrations in the earth's crust. The power of vibrations is demonstrated by the radiometer, the rotating wheel on display in opticians' shops that rotates in the sunlight. A solid sphere of the earth would, however, scatter the vibrations far and wide, its enormous internal gas pressures would have killed these vibrations long ago. At least it remains a mystery to me how the sun can attract the earth's matter with incomprehensible pulling force because of its trajectory, but yet here the same matter falls with such tremendous force and remains as heavy as the earth; otherwise, as we know, the stronger magnet pulls everything away from the weaker one!

We therefore have completely different conditions and gravity is nonsense. "Gravity" only exists within the hollow globe. Only here do the earth's waves or atomic vibrations condense in the center of the hollow globe as a focal point, and then produce the strange "gravity" through effects and counter-impacts. The earth has no gravity on the outside, but is scattered there. The moon crumbles on the outside, as the craters show as disintegrated bubbles. The planets also have no attraction, but their approach only causes electrical effects, which manifest themselves in "disturbances." But the fact that the normal orbit is continued exactly afterwards proves conclusively that the orbital line is created by its own secure internal force, and is therefore not the result of a throw and the famous gravity.

Only the hollow earth shows gravity and always outwards; in free space nothing is constricted, everything is only organized by force. Gravity does not force things together towards the center, but rather it works outwards; it is an expansive force. The cohesive force of the atomic threads counteracts this dispersion, because the intertwined threads hold together firmly as a result of tremendous rotation; steel shows enormous cohesion compared to gravity. Gravity is considered to be the reason for the large formation of the hollow sphere. The more the atoms condensed into the strong cables of the heavy elements, the stronger their vibrations and their gravity became. The earth never shrinks, but grows until it dissolves into ether, as radium and uranium show.

For the following assessment of the effect of gravity, briefly imagine the invisible forces in matter, i.e. an image of the finest possible kind of force. From certain considerations it has been scientifically determined that 1 gram of hydrogen contains about 1/2 quadrillion (24 zeros) atoms, meaning that 10 million threads meet in one millimeter. You must imagine this innumerable number whirring around, billions of times every second; this force, the same everywhere in space, is the world force! Every hindrance, even the smallest, is an attempt to stop the entire gears of the world clock, so you see the enormous forces of nature arise when there are blockages or accumulations somewhere or somewhere. Then the equalizations occur as explosions, etc. These countless threads of a body are woven together as a result of countless impacts from the edges, and this interweaving represents cohesion. At the same time you recognize the elasticity as a result of the impacts of force.

Our earth's gravity is the resultant between earth and star waves. Now imagine a body in the air as described! The waves push it from below, the elastic mass is therefore layered in the familiar way like water, in mountains and valleys of the waves, of course infinitely fine. But this takes time. The first pressures therefore meet with resistance inside the mass, so the layers quickly fall back down again. But now the atomic threads are strongly connected by cohesion, so the repelled layers pull downwards with the force of the first push back to the crust. Meanwhile, the cohesion causes the mass to return, new tremors push

Waves upwards, so the impact continues into the interior of the body and ever larger masses oscillate, pulling downwards. Finally, the body is flooded with the earth's vibration of gravity, it is drawn to it, it has acquired weight. This weight is obviously connected to the rapid force, i.e. the number of whirring atomic threads. The form of the wiring of the threads therefore determines the properties and the specific weight of the materials. You can now recognize the appearance of gravity as the recoil of the atomic forces, like when a billiard ball bounces off a belt or when light waves are reflected. Without gravity, you could float freely in the air and lift the heaviest loads with one finger, so the planets move in space through the small forces of internal electrical impulses.

Every free-floating body falls, it follows the elastic recoil, and is therefore subjected to an ever stronger shock, more and more, it falls at an accelerated rate. When it hits the ground, it returns the stored energy to the earth as heat. No power is lost.

Every freely falling body strives for the crust by the shortest path; whether towards the centre of a sphere or away from it, you do not know! Only logical consideration proves. So far you have said in the sense of the attraction fable: the materials are sorted according to their specific weight, so the heaviest metals at the bottom, the air at the top. Rotation therefore plays no role in comparison, because this would have to arrange the materials the other way round, as the centrifuge proves. Then air would have to be stored inside, thus under the ground. - But now consider that the earth is a spin-off from the sun, in any case it was in tremendous rotation and was a dripping liquid or steam! In such a state the centrifugal force undoubtedly won, the gases were inside, the metals outside. Now the rotation decreased, cooling took place, so the materials must have been turning around up to today? This impossibility is clear.

Now imagine the other simple case! The small, soft earth had air inside and metals outside, it cooled down, lost its rotation and is still the same today; we live inside. Isn't everything OK then? The metals under the earth, the air above the ground, exactly according to the law of gravity! Both processes combined, without any trickery of hypotheses and assumptions, attraction

etc.! I mean, there is no clearer proof. The inside is also the top, — logically a hollow earth world!

Why does gravity increase at the poles? Because there the waves run in the same direction as the atomic forces (world axis), whereas at the equator both forces intersect and interfere. At higher altitudes the impacts become weaker, and the same applies at lower depths, and therefore lighter. At the poles the impacts become stronger because the star sphere and the impact focal point are closer, and gravity is therefore greater.

This brings us to the mysterious problem of polar cold. An earth 150 million km from the sun would have the same solar effect and warmth everywhere, because the few thousand km distance between the poles is irrelevant, and the poles are also sometimes closer to the sun than the equator. In the hollow earth, the atomic threads are in the axial direction of the world, north-south, but the radial — gravitational forces force them into the vertical direction. So you can see how the polar atoms can remain constantly calm in this order, whereas the atoms at the equator constantly strive to take both directions, which results in an alternating, rapidly oscillating pendulum. The atoms here can only be tiny threads, while at the poles the resting cohesion creates longer threads. In physics, this stable rest means cold. In addition, the solar energy acts very obliquely at the poles, the electrons come from very long distances in an arc of about 10,000 km, but at the equator they come directly from only about 4,000 km, so that they have power. The result of these atomic movements at the equator is expressed in the heat and growth, as well as the expansion of the crust, so that they explain the flattening not as the effect of the momentum of a rotating earth, but as a result of the above-mentioned forces.

Since the sun's electrons arrive at the poles in an arc and then have to bend upwards, those illuminated bands appear in the icy air, especially in the nitrogen dust at the highest altitudes, which are visible to us as the northern lights and appear like waving cloths. This is the only way light can reach the night side of the earth. Similarly, the zodiacal light appears in the equatorial zones, again proof of the curved paths of the electrons, which result from the effects of gravity and radiation.

This explains the problem of gravity in favor of the earth as proof and you no longer need the word attraction to explain the processes in the world. Without attractions there would be no planetary orbit in the Copernicus picture.

What are the causes of spiral paths?

Every planet was built the same way internally, namely like the world, it consists of a cortex and an inner star sphere. Both masses act on each other electrically, the masses oscillate. The cortex moves the star sphere forward in one direction, when it approaches, the cortex darts forward in an evasive manner, then it approaches again from behind, the star sphere moves forward, and so on. You can clearly see the progression in unhindered space in a straight line.

But every planet rotates, and so the straight line is turned into a circle. At least one rotation is necessary to form a circle, as the moon proves. Rotation and circle are interdependent. Therefore, an orbit of the earth with daily rotation would be impossible, so the Copernicus interpretation is also wrong for this reason. If the mass is light, then only several rotations are able to form a circle, as with Jupiter, but 360 rotations of the earth or 8000 rotations of Jupiter cannot form an orbit. Rotation does not allow for straight paths. But the spherical space of the earth also causes circles. Look at the moon! Assuming it flies in a straight line, it quickly comes close to the crust. If it were attracted to it, as the theory of gravitation claims, it would have been finished long ago. On the contrary, you can see that its forces must repel each other electrically; only when it draws larger orbits from internal forces does it eventually reach the earth, only then does the earth's gravity act nearby.

The horizontal fluctuations are oscillations between the earth's own force and the crustal force; the vertical fluctuations occur between the poles of the earth's space, with the obliquity of the magnetic axis contributing to this. All inexplicable changes in the forces in space therefore change the orbits, so that the perihelion shifts, etc., can be explained. The world clock is extremely sensitive to all disturbances and regulates them.

II.

THE EVIDENCE OF THE EARTH WORLD

What is truth?

Either the world is the overworld or the earth world! Who is right?

As long as direct measurements in space are not possible using special instruments, the proof of the concave earth's crust can only be provided indirectly. Every proof of improbability, every violation of natural laws in the Copernican world view must be regarded as proof of the correctness of the new view insofar as the earth's world offers the greatest probabilities due to the harmony of all areas. Here the battle is only about logic. The Copernican world view violates logic and the laws of nature.

Since we earthlings only have the earth's crust to measure directly, the only way to prove the hollow earth is by taking the geometrical route. As soon as the earth's crust is concave, heaven can only be a small, spatial content. At this historical moment, the fantasy of the superworld is turned inside out in people's minds into the earth's world, and all indirect evidence is suddenly "irrefutable truths."

But even these direct measurements have been objected to; it will therefore be no art to contradict logical proofs in any way, especially in unrelated details. I will briefly indicate the violations of the Copernicus world view and mention my reasons for the terrestrial world view.

The astronomer will be inclined to present my proofs as mere "assertions" because he has been so absorbed in his powerful world view for many years and must therefore be so caught up in his thinking that he no longer sees as monstrous what every layman finds puzzling and incomprehensible. I would like to remind you of the motto at the beginning and add: Ideas without conceptual images are dead.

Empty mathematical formulas cannot be used to create a world view, but unbiased thinking rejects images of giant balls of glowing steam millions of times larger than the sun, i.e. billions of times larger than the earth, flying in countless millions in absolutely empty space at distances of billions of kilometers, shining at us like tiny little stars, each of which represents a huge solar system with masses of huge planets, all of which fly around as precisely as a precision clock. Every layman knows how suddenly everything gaseous evaporates in a vacuum, only the earth's air is said to remain suspended. Light is said to travel for thousands of years, electrical waves (radio) are said to travel around the globe, break up in the vacuum and descend, but light waves cannot. The earth is said to be magnetic, but has been rotating against these earth currents for millions of years, all from the former throwing power. That radio waves pass through the hollow earth is an "assertion", as is the claim that the earth is a solenoid, that life in the earth's world originated cosmically, that the air was inside the rotating body, that comet vapors are only possible in small quantities, that space cannot become absolutely empty when a world nebula is collected, etc.

Even if we were in a Copernican bias, we would still "explain" things differently if we were to fly through the earth, and if we could see America, then this vision would also go around the outside like the electrical waves! But where there's a will, there's a way. I see that soon the whole world will believe in the hollow earth worldview.

1. The Copernican Proofs

I. The Earth is a planetary sphere

1. All other planets are just such solid spheres. Externally, yes, but none shows even a trace of the possibility of life; no air, no water on the moon, the earth cannot be a planet.
2. The earthquake waves pass through the solid body. This is better explained by the hollow space. In any case, the radio waves never go around the solid body, but only through space to great distances, which can be proven by the dead zones, as is the case with sound. Furthermore, such enormous gas pressures in the earth's interior would be impossible and their

Attraction is puzzling. Cosmogony proves the formation of hollow spheres and the emergence of all life only from the interior of these cold materials, at rest. A flight would kill all life outside, just as no vital substance could survive in the pure vacuum of the ether, not even with the slightest flight, not at rest! Space can only be completely sealed off by the earth's crust and the air must rest completely at rest on the earth's crust only by its weight, whereby it shifts in undulations. The impossibility of detachment is our main proof against any rotation and forward flight of the earth. With this I could hijack all other proofs, ignoring them because they are no longer relevant.

3. The horizon is circular and expands with height. This is also the case — inside, as we can only see a flat surface at low altitudes, but never curves, because with a 2-meter sphere our highest ascent would be barely 1 mm! Everything else is due to optical illusions and refraction. A round trip is also possible in the concave earth.
4. Distant objects appear with the top part first. I explained this — visually.
5. The altitudes of the stars change during a meridian journey exactly according to a sphere. This was also explained in the space curves, because the angles decrease on the inside just as much as on the outside.
6. Water drops take on a spherical shape. But — only under the pressure of the air, otherwise in the ether they disperse into nothing. If the attraction of matter is not recognized, a full sphere can never form; the gravity of the earth's crust arises from vibrations in the space world, thus only locally, not generally in the material. Therefore, water levels out all depths and positions itself in the spherical direction of these gravitational waves. Planets are not water drops and were never ejected glowing liquid masses.
7. The Earth's shadow during lunar eclipses is circular. You received — the explanation of this disk shining in reddish light, which is the lightless night channel in the Earth's world.

8. When it comes to the question of spheres, you will only have to decide whether it is solid or hollow, convex or concave. The concave shape was experimentally proven by the earth measurements of the American Professor Morrow. Our life proves that the earth is a world egg, because the phenomena correspond to all those that we know from biology.

II. The earth rotates on its axis.

1. Heaven in its infinite majesty will not revolve around the tiny earth. The earth is the great thing, and therefore heaven revolves in it.
2. All planets rotate, including the Earth. But the Earth is not a planet, but a world wall.
3. Day and night show irrefutably rotation. This phenomenon also occurs in the earth's universe because only one half can be illuminated.
4. Foucault's pendulum experiment proves rotation through the persistence of the pendulum. As a result of the rotation of all forces in space from east to west, not only do all the stars rotate in the same way, but naturally also the small pendulum ball, which in this form represents a model of a planet; it does not persist, but follows the world force in the otherwise stationary earth's crust.
5. The trade winds, as easterly winds, are persistent air. Why should the air persist here, but follow the sun without a trace as it flies around it? If the forces in the Earth's space are driving towards the west, then the trade winds are moving correctly according to the law.
6. The earth was liquid as a result of being thrown out of the sun, hence the flattening. From cosmogony you know that the earth was formed from rings.
7. Gravity at the equator is less than at the poles because of centrifugal force. But gravity is greater at the poles, firstly because of the alignment of the rotational forces, and secondly because of the greater proximity of both causes of gravity, the earth and the star. At the equator these waves oscillate perpendicular to the world force, which is directed axially.
8. The earth's magnetism is considered to be counter-evidence of rotation, because at the North Pole the earth should rotate clockwise because that is how the currents circulate.

III. The Earth orbits the Sun.

1. As the moon orbits the earth, the planets orbit their planets, and the planets orbit the sun, so the earth as a planet orbits the sun. But the earth is not a planet that has life. Austin and ukriff
2. The seasons are the result of the rotation of the earth's axis in a constant position. If this permanent position is wrong according to the law of gyroscopes, then it would have to be turned by the effects of elliptical flight near or far over the millions of years of influences. The earth's world explains the seasons in a far more natural way.
3. The image of the earth's orbit is seen in the epicycles and planetary orbits. But this is only the effect of the sun moving around throughout the year, which causes these disturbances and retrogrades! The sun is that mighty arm, the "finger of God" according to Newton, which keeps the world in order; aberration also belongs here.
4. The changing size of the planets' disks shows their distances and the Earth's orbit. These optical effects are only an illusion, which can be explained in a simple way. The visual size has nothing to do with the path, just as an "enlarged" mosquito does not come any closer.
5. The shifts of the spectral lines according to the Doppler principle prove the approach or distance, i.e. the orbit, even that of the system. This optical phenomenon is also completely explained by the effective movement of the sun.
6. The eclipse of Jupiter's moons proves mathematically the length of the path of light, i.e. the distance of the Earth's orbit. This phenomenon is explained in the section "Heaven"; the time is coincidental.
7. On the other hand, the sunspot phenomenon speaks for the hollow earth and against an orbit, because if we never see the back of the sun, it would be incomprehensible why it should escape from us intellectually; in the orbit, the side where the large spots first appear must become visible at some point. Therefore, there is no orbit. Also, the breath of air on the sphere would have to be at a speed of

30 km/sec. in the enormous periods of time, because the replacement of air from the crust would be inexplicable. There are further doubts against the flight, which you will hear and consider in the following section.

The Copernican proofs fit seamlessly into the new picture. But a very significant number of strong contradictions do not fit into the Copernican picture.

About the decision:

There are only two possibilities for our world view: Either the measurements according to the image are correct, in which case the Copernicus world is correct down to the smallest detail, because rearrangements in any other form are impossible, or these measurements are wrong because the image of the sky is deceptive, in which case there is only the earth-world form. Either hollow earth or full earth?

Let us consider the reasons further.




Fig. 26

The earth measurements of Prof. Morrow.

An absolute straight line from O perpendicular to the plumb line cuts the concave crust at A and B, whereas this line moves away in the case of the solid sphere. Since the plumb lines always point to the center of the sphere, the angles against the straight chord AB would be smaller in the case of the solid sphere, whereas they would be larger in the case of the hollow sphere, D. The perpendiculars from the chord are in the case of the hollow sphere against the horizontal (spirit level) at a smaller, but larger at a for a solid sphere. The

measurements indicate that the concave hollow sphere is the right one.

The measurements showed that the straight line from the plumb line at a height of 3.20 meters met sea level at a distance of 6600 meters. The figure also shows the angle differences against the curvature of the earth (sea).

2. The Earth measurements

Based on the idea that in a hollow sphere a horizontal line drawn by a plumb line must intersect the earth, the American astronomer Prof. Morrow spent years making detailed measurements of the earth using specially constructed equipment. He found that the straight line drawn by a plumb line at a precise right angle

Line cuts the arc of the earth as a chord at a definable distance. But if the earth's crust were convex, curved downwards, this chord would have to reach a much greater distance at this distance than at the plumb line. This proof and that of the angles formed by the plumb line and the chord line irrefutably establishes the shape of the earth as a hollow sphere. The measurements were taken in all directions, on water and land, and also backwards, in order to find all sources of error (Cellular Cosmogony, Estero 1922). The expert determination ruled out any deception due to optical errors, and the spirit level was also useless because the horizontal line lay in a circle. Re-measurements are essential.

3. Erdphysik

In a permanent world, all forces can only rotate in one direction, otherwise they will destroy themselves in the foreseeable future. In the earth's world, all atoms are aligned in the direction of the world axis as world forces or rapidly rotating threads. Consequently, all stars can only rotate around the world axis in this direction east-west, and daily horizontally, never in oblique or elliptical orbits or even in opposite directions or retrograde. The daily orbits, there are no others, are 1. circles, 2. horizontal, 3. in one direction and are only subject to oscillations of the world force.

This rectification of the world's electrical power has been established through experiments with the earth's electrical currents and the magnetic needles. All of the earth's needles are parallel. But if the earth were a solid sphere with internal magnets, the needles would be in all possible positions.




Fig. 27

If the world force, seen at the North Pole, circles in the direction of the clock because there is an assumed magnetic South Pole in the ground, then logically the rotating earth should rotate clockwise east-west, just like these currents. The movement of the stars in the earth's world takes place according to the correct laws. That is why science is looking for magnetism in the air, in space. The earth's magnetism proves against every rotation of the earth, which would put an end to the copper system. The pendulum also follows this rotation of the world force.

Recently it has been determined that the solar system is moving forward 750 km every second. Wouldn't the pendulum, which is so sensitive, be a measure of this as a result of disturbances caused by the changing direction of the earth's orbit around the sun? An earth axis that is always in the same direction in flight contradicts laws. Furthermore, the persistence on the west side of the earth would have to produce a permanent flood that would move around the equator in a tremendous wave as the day turns.




Fig. 28

The earth was certainly once soft, even if not glowing. According to copper theory, it was dripping, glowing liquid, and rotating sharply, but this stopped. According to the law of centrifugation, the result was that the light substances, i.e. air and water, collected inside, while the heavy metals had to be outside. But what do you really see today? The heavy metals are in the so-called interior of the earth, while the air floats above them, exactly contrary to theory. Thus the whole earth must have turned over in a state of slow rotation when it cooled; however, the material structure contradicts such a view. Logically, therefore, the air cannot be outside at all; instead, the metals, such as radium, uranium, etc., radioactive substances, are stored outside. If the air is at rest inside, but still above

the ground, there is only one logic: then the top is also the inside and the earth can only be a hollow sphere. Inside is air, then water, earth, metals.

A planet earth would not have high and low tides. The explanations of the attraction of the moon would only produce high and low tides on one side. In the earth world, however, the moon acts electrically on the nearby crust, stretching it atomistically, the waters strive to equalize the depths, and the high tide occurs. But since the crust is elastic skin, the same condition occurs on the opposite side, high tide; consequently, there are low tides on both intermediate places in the circle. Similar to the course of the sun's power, light and night.

The earthquake waves are easier to explain as electrical effects on the earth's crust than in the case of a solid body; we are reluctant to assume that these waves pass through the earth, which is pressed by enormous pressures. In the case of radio waves, the evidence is clearly on the side of the hollow earth, because nothing seems more unnatural than the explanation that these waves circle a sphere, that they go up into the stratosphere and then turn around there. And why should the sound waves travel faster up there? Is it hot up there? In the ice space? How simple is the image that the radio waves travel directly through space on a shortcut, naturally coming down from above. In the same way, the Fata Morgana proves that we can see straight through the concave space into those regions. Yes, it will still be possible to see directly to America using instruments, but certainly not around the "earth's ceiling".

The constant easterly winds as trade winds speak for the earth, because they only follow the world force. Since science denies any persistence in the earth's flight, it cannot be considered here either. So the trade winds must be westerly winds! And what about the 750 km/sec. flight of the sun and our earth?

It is not possible to assume that the air masses and vapors on this aircraft are in circulation. Only a stationary earth allows the air to move in this way according to the power of the universe. The ice vapors rise up, circle like planets in a spiral to the equator, and then move to the other pole, whereupon the same return movement occurs. If such masses enter the low air, typhoons are formed.

Hail, tropical rain showers, etc., in short, you see at certain periodic times, like icemen, etc., this certain migration of all matter. A flying earth in empty space at 270 degrees would immediately freeze over. Remember the formation of sunspots by sucked-in ice vapors. As soon as these masses reach the sun, they disrupt the electrical activity, and thus the radiation, which affects our weather.

Space contains such enormous quantities of vapors that it can drown us if there are any disturbances. How can sunspots with a diameter of 500,000 km appear or disappear in a few hours! The spots are small, but big enough for the earth, because the ice ages were the result of long, strong periods.

If the earth shrank, the mountains would be in water; but fossils prove that peaks were raised out of water. Logic therefore forces us to assume that the earth grew, as cosmogony and evolutionary history demand.

No "planet" shows life on the outside, not even Mars or Venus. Life requires peace and protection; if at all, it can only exist within. If the earth nevertheless harbors life, then it is proven that it is not a planet, that it does not fly in the ether. Life could not have flown up from the ice space, it could not have developed from the embers, it does not arise from raw matter of its own accord. Our life is proven by the organic earth world as an egg. The necessary substances for life - air, water, earth - are only protected against loss in the inner enclosure, so the world only makes sense if all planets are habitable inside and the earth is everything.

There are fabulous views of the sun. This enormous ball of steam rushes through the ice at 750 km every second, suddenly hurls masses of hydrogen up to 500,000 km high, pulls all the planets around and away with it, has brought us light and warmth for millions of years, does not cool down, etc. The Copernican explanation of the seasons is not possible, because the sun is always almost the same distance away, what difference does 6,000 km make out of 150 million! The obliqueness of the rays' impact is not the cause of the heat. Why can't we light a pipe with a magnifying glass in winter despite the steep incidence of the rays? In summer, on the other hand, we can still light a pipe with a magnifying glass late in the evening. In

In winter the sun is actually far away from us because it orbits in the southern half, so the path of the electrons is twice as long and they are inhibited. Animals experience this as hibernation. The seasons are caused by the solar energy actually coming closer to 3000 km and actually moving away to 10 000 km. This is direct proof of the earth's world.

But we also know that radiation falls from space to the earth, hitting it vertically everywhere, with a force that can only be stopped by 2 meters of lead. This force increases with height, so it comes from the stellar space. The assumption from the cosmic star world would be absurd; but you can see very clearly how the earth's star sphere falls vertically to us everywhere, and the force of the electrons is also understandable at a distance of 6000 km, from the known radiation materials of the sphere.

4. *Weltphysik*

The copper world stands and falls with the belief in gravitation or the attraction of masses, which guides the thrown body in circles. On earth we find no attraction of matter anywhere, because gravity does not lie in the material, but in the electrical vibration conditions of the earth's space. A planet earth could never express gravity; the moon crumbles unless it is bound by cohesion; these particles are meteorites. Gravity is an expanding force. X

Gravity, however, is supposed to be a force that pushes atoms together towards the center and resists the movement of atoms. This is a violation of the natural law of free movement. In free space, nothing is constricted; it always just joins together. According to Laplace, gravity would have to travel through space 12 million times faster than light, to infinite distances, which would be unthinkable.

It is impossible to project forces on molten matter that would be bound for billions of years. Such amounts of force would have to drive the bodies to explode; just imagine the accumulation of force through "over-motion"!

How could solar vapors collect from the known supernothingness of material superspace, when the vacuum is known to contain everything?

steams? The heat has an effect, again dispersing, and there is also the latent projectile energy! Then fog had to form first; how did gravity suddenly drop, why? Only the earth's world leads through this clamp as a circuit. Why is only the earth a blossoming oasis in the desolate ice space of the pointless solar system?

Who would believe that comets throw out tails 400 million km long in just a few days? How are these supposed to travel through space at a speed of 200 km/s without coming loose? How are the heads supposed to hold together as masses of stone? The canals on Mars are said to be miracles, but what are they? Just radiation images of the metal bearings against each other, which is why they come and disappear like electrical forces, depending on the energy conditions; hence such dead-straight artificial structures with doublings, similar to the moon.

Shouldn't we be surprised that the swarms of star-like meteors, such as the Perseids, in the immeasurably deep space, should hit our "tiny little planet" Earth! And how countless meteorites fall to Earth! This space would be teeming with them like a herring pond if this little spot on Earth was being bombarded like that! Doesn't the Earth, within which all these meteors and swarms orbit, seem infinitely more probable, more natural? Or Sirius, which is a small sphere that orbits the sphere of stars, like all nearby stars and comets, has a companion whose matter is said to be 10,000 times heavier than platinum, in order to explain its behavior; thus, completely abnormal conditions in space. And in the Earth, such clear conditions.

So, in addition to the moon's orbit, the lunar craters, and a number of mathematical anomalies, the problem of what is the source of the eternal solar energy, where do the thousands of trillions of horsepower come from, where does this power come from for perhaps a billion years, when even the earth falling towards the sun could only provide energy for 100 years. This puzzle is no longer a puzzle in the hollow earth model, since the energy circulates forever — in the closed space and never suffers any loss. And trillions of horsepower are not needed in the earth's space.

It has also been proven that the moon changes colour significantly depending on whether it is facing large coloured areas of the earth; it takes on the yellow of the deserts, the

Green of the giant forests, the blue of the seas. This would be impossible over a distance of about 400,000 km. But the concave curvature of the earth shines like a spotlight on the moon orbiting inside it over a distance of just 3,000 km and this is understandable.

Furthermore, the phenomenon of the lunar eclipse shows that the full moon continues to shine behind the darkened part. How could light fall on this back side in Copernicus' position: sun, earth, moon? The full moon should have deep night behind it, just as the new moon shows night in front! If it is now darkened in front by the earth, all light is extinguished. But it continues to shine behind it! So it is undoubtedly proven that the moon also receives light from "behind", that the full moon is illuminated from all sides and since the well-known night channel only shades it from the front, it continues to shine behind it.

This night shadow, which is exactly turned away from the sun, could be determined photographically by examining the parts of the star for light, and this wandering cone would be found, which of course could not be the "Earth's shadow" because it cannot possibly extend to distances of millions of light years; no one would claim that any more. So here we have experimental proof of the Earth's world.

Further evidence is earthlight, a nighttime earthshine, a phenomenon that has not yet been explained. Earthlight consists of a brightness that is particularly noticeable during a new moon, overcast skies, or fog and starlight, which gives the impression that the air itself or a fog that is present at the same time is phosphorescent. Prof. Förster suspects that a "glowing light", similar to the polar lights (!), surrounds the globe and represents a balancing phenomenon between the globe and space. How much more likely is the explanation in the hollow earth, within which sunlight circulates above us at great heights even at night!

The circular orbits are not a result of the planet's own force. The denser its mass, the stronger the impact and the flight, the larger the trajectory or the circle in the day. Therefore, Neptune, as the finest planet, cannot draw the "largest" circles, but the largest daily circles are moved by the planets.

our moon, whereas the young Neptune orbits the stellar sphere in tiny circles.


The planets cannot have been created by the throwing off of the sun's mass, whereupon they cool down and fall back to the sun in a smaller flight due to attraction, because such a ball game contradicts cosmogony and every purpose of the world. The planets are born from the center of the world, orbiting this birthplace in countless spirals in ever larger orbits in order to gain time for their inner development of life.

Since the world offers only two possible forms, either the Copernican superworld or the developed earth world, the impossibility of the superworld is undoubtedly proof of the earth world form. The only thing that counts is the decision between the two, because details no longer play a role. Furthermore, planets that have been thrown off the planet due to disturbances would never return to their "normal" orbit; at least they would all have to move in the same direction, but moons should never be retrograde in the system. This is proof that the planets move in correct orbits due to internal causes, which follow higher laws regardless of disturbances, and furthermore that no copernican orbits can exist at all.

Because of the impossibility of heat dissipation, a planet earth would be permanently glowing without a conductor (ether); how does the sun's heat get to us? Because space is not empty, but gaseous and conductive, earth space! Then again, rapid movement is impossible, which is only conceivable in the earth world due to short distances. Because of the lack of conduction through the ether, light could never reach us from distances of a few seconds, not even light years. But then the copper image falls away and the earth world must be correct. Furthermore, the experiments on the ether wind speak against any earth movement. The foundations of the copper image contradict the laws of nature, the image is a mistake; thus only the earth world image remains. Detailed details follow in a later major work.

5. Ueberblick

All violations of the Copernicus system are considered as evidence for the Earth world, but the main evidences shall be briefly repeated.



IV. The impossibility of the Earth's rotation.

At the North Pole, the sky rotates clockwise like a giant glass bell. So, according to Copernican theory, the lower full earth would have to rotate anti-clockwise so that the stationary sun would orbit clockwise. But the earth's currents would then have to slow down the rotation, as the magnetic needles prove. (Text page 84).

1. Direct earth measurements prove the concave shape.
2. The earth's magnetism requires reverse rotation of a planet or standstill.
3. The parallel positions of the magnetic needles are only possible in the solenoid earth world.
4. The radio waves do not orbit a solid sphere, they go through the room or can only travel along the wall in a closed cavity.
5. The Fata Morgana proves direct vision in space.
 6. Flooding on both sides is only possible in the hollow earth.
 7. Rotation and orbital time differ only slightly.
 8. No planet shows life on the outside, so the Earth, as an externally alive planet, is not a planet.
 9. Neither air nor water can survive in a vacuum during flight.
10. The storage of matter proves that up is inside.
 11. Seasons are only shown on Earth.
 12. The sunspot phenomenon proves against an Earth orbit. There is a "back side" of the sun.
 13. The attraction of matter is nowhere visible.
 14. The persistence of earth flight would produce a permanent flow, even if the trade winds "persist".
 15. The circulation of the air masses correctly follows the world force; in flight it would be impossible.
 16. The fossils on high peaks speak against cooling and shrinkage.
17. The sun is a solid electrical mass because glowing vapors do not exist in a vacuum.
18. Radiation from space can only fall vertically everywhere in the Earth's space world.
19. Molten masses cannot be hurled.
20. The comets would be mythical creatures and riddles.
21. Space is not empty; in filled space, Copernicus flights are unthinkable.
22. The planetary orbits are free proper motions.
 23. The polar cold is inexplicable by the Earth's axis.
 24. Attraction collects fog, but fog still arises due to lack of attraction?
 25. Light cannot travel through time.
 26. The eternal power of the sun is a mystery.

27. The ice space or the scorching sun send no germs of life; matter does not come to life.
28. The theory of evolution is wrong, because only an earth organism created life and built it higher.
29. The sun attracts the great mass of the earth and throws it in a rapid flight around this center. Why then does it not draw air and water away from us towards itself?
30. The sun is condensed into a ball of fire and throws off planets. So the momentum is greater than the attraction. However, the opposite is claimed for the material storage. But the sun is not supposed to have any light elements on the outside according to the spectra; they are on the inside.
31. Gravity lies in the masses. Their collapse brings the heat back to the sun. But they become icy cold in space. So gravity would be an impossible source of heat, forever.
32. An absolutely empty ether space conducts neither light nor heat, nor electrical force.
33. No matter is capable of storing the energies needed for the Copernican revolution.
34. Planets that have been thrown out can never return to their old normal orbit after countless disturbing deflections.
35. If the earth follows the orbit, the edges inside and outside the orbit move at different speeds. The difference of 1000 meters would be measurable by the pendulum, but is completely missing.
36. Light can be propagated for millions of years. Then it must be possible to create continuous light sources for hours by means of reflections, because there are also resistances in space.
37. The finest vibration of light cannot last longer than similar large radio waves. Every swinging pendulum proves this.
38. Why does light not also travel around the "earth"?
39. Because of the tension of the conducting medium, light can only travel short distances, even assuming enormous "intensities" which the material could never achieve.
40. The seasons are said to arise from the tilted axis of the earth. Then we should reach tropical heat on the sloping surfaces of the vineyards. Why

- Does the magnifying glass not ignite in winter when it is at an angle, but does ignite in summer, even in the mornings and evenings when it is at its most crooked?
41. After millions of years, starlight as spectra says nothing about the elements. The Doppler principle is only true in the terrestrial world.
42. The effect of the earth's light only explains the earth's world, because the light circles above at night.
43. The northern lights only exist in the Earth's universe, because only here does light reach the night side.
44. If the sun is traveling at 750 km/sec., why doesn't it dissolve into a comet-like tail?
45. The fluctuating air pressures and their safe course according to meteorological laws require a closure in a stationary earth.
46. The end of the world through entropy is impossible, otherwise the world would not exist today. The second law of the kinetic theory of heat is wrong; cold turns into heat when the icy mist forms into elements, and the vibration of heat is then created. Material cycle.
47. The earth current revolves with the sun, it gets stronger at midday and weaker at midnight. The sun is the cause. The earth cannot rotate in the opposite direction to the currents.
48. The Copernican "orbits" are impossible in terms of size, speed, inclination, ellipse, etc. All orbits are 1. circles, 2. in uniform motion, 3. in equatorial planes, 4. only in a single direction east-west daily.
49. Why did only the "ape-man" develop to such a high level, but not the apes? Where does this enormous gap come from?
50. The original biblical tradition knew the truths of the hollow earth form from the once small earth. The fortress between the earth's crust and the central heaven is the star sphere alone.
- All the impossibilities of the oversized Copernican image are proofs for the earthly world, because only this form remains possible. Either/or! Either a real image or an optical illusion of the sky! The choice cannot be difficult.

The Impossibility of Earth's Rotation

The illustration below shows the Copernican full earth. The observer stands on the North Pole N. On this geographic North Pole the sky rotates clockwise as the sun moves. Above the Pole Star stands still. The firmament is spread out across the sky, so the lower star sphere of the upper hollow earth is visible stretched out across the sky in the sense of a curved line (aid to think about); the horizon is now to be imagined to be bent upwards. The upper sun is therefore on the horizon and circles in the sense of the upper and lower arrows.

In nature, the star world really turns clockwise like a large bell, the base is the horizon, the axis is the plumb line N to the star sphere. The arrows are magnetic needles, inclination needles. At the geographical north pole, a Copernican magnetic south pole is thought to be in the earth's interior, since the needle's north pole points downwards. Unlike poles attract each other. The arrowheads are magnetic north poles. On a full earth, the needles would be on the outside, as shown in the schematic figure. In a hollow earth, they are parallel according to the law. The angles 1 to 5 are the same in both cases, hence the previously unrecognized illusion (see also the light curves of the sun).

If the force in the earth's magnet at the inner south pole rotates clockwise according to the accepted laws of physics, then logically the whole earth must rotate in the same way, because it cannot resist! But then the sun would rotate anti-clockwise.

Consequently, the magnet is a mistake. Otherwise, the positions of the needles cannot be explained. The full earth cannot rotate. This makes the Copernican world view impossible, because it only allows the earth to rotate to the left.

The hollow earth is a solenoid, all needles are parallel, the upper magnetic north pole is orbited by currents according to the law (when viewed upwards) against the clock and the rotation of the sky is correct.

The observer at A looks south (above) in the direction of the arrow, the sun moves from the left to the right arm every day, i.e. from east to west. East is at the back, west is at the front. Observer 4 sees the left hemisphere of stars. After 6 hours the sun comes forward, i.e. rises at 4.

III.

THE WORLD ORGANISM

The world has no beginning, and therefore no end; it lasts forever because the states constantly flow into one another. While the final crust, the "earth," is decaying, the inner "heaven" is already being built from this building material. The parts begin in the middle and end on the outside, but the world of power as a whole lasts forever; it is a polar sphere.

If you look out into space, only a few planets and the inner sphere of stars can be seen above the earth; otherwise space is empty. But the philosopher sees more, he sees not only the coarse matter, but only energy or force. This empty space, filled with subtle matter, is therefore bursting with energy. Even if it does not offer any resistance to the movements of the planets, the space is nevertheless more materially filled than where we see matter, because we only see the violently vibrating coarse matter, which was formed from cables of subtle matter, whereas the fine matter, which is naturally at rest, is very closely packed. If we imagine threads of moving matter instead of energy, then in the same space there are far more threads of ether than of coarse matter, or in other words: empty space can form an enormous foam world of vibrations as coarse matter. So we now understand the meaning of empty space. We cannot imagine this spiritual form of the world; it is the opposite pole of the material form, but far more important. What we see as the world are impressions of vibrating substances on our senses; the sky as spheres consists for us of light waves on our eyes, while we cannot perceive the force waves of the subtle matter with any sense; we describe it as emptiness, nothingness.

Cosmogony tries to explain the origin of our world. It is assumed that a nebula condensed through attraction into suns, which in their glow threw off planets, which later, when their flight slowed, were drawn back to the sun, whereupon they began a new flight.

but at some point all suns must have dispersed their heat into the ice space, whereupon everything would stand still, entropy, death. In the eternal course of the world, however, such a process must already have occurred, so that the question arises as to how new nebulae arose from the cooled balls, how the attraction suddenly ceased. In short, this cosmogony is as erroneous as the world view.

It would be pointless to think of a "beginning"; it is possible. In a fog the size of the earth, rotating masses form, which form rings. The momentum expands the steam rings, while new masses form inside, which also strive outwards and even further, because the heavier substances are chemically formed. I have shown this in chemical tables, but it is not of interest to the large readership. The inner rings penetrate the outer rings, push them to the side, so that you see a wide ring forming. And so it goes on, new rings penetrate more and more from heavy masses of chemical formations, the ring belt becomes wider, but spherical, since the first ring is small, the further ones are larger, and the last rings are largest in the middle of the equator. If you think the picture through, you will see that a hollow sphere is formed without any pressure and that the two poles are even flattened when the first rings are closed and overflow. The earth's crust is thus constructed in an elementary order, at the end of the ring. The heaviest radiant and precious metals are found at the equator, and light materials at the poles. But since only veins from the interior reach us, all metals are found mixed everywhere. This is how you can see the original formation of the earth's

bark, desolate and empty, the Spirit of God hovered over the waters, as the center of the world.

And God said: Let there be a fortress in the midst of the waters! In the midst — of the subtle substance ether, new rings arose, a new hollow sphere, the well-known star sphere as heaven. It too grew by absorbing polar matter from space. And now let us briefly consider, as an overview, that hollow ring spheres also formed in space as planets that orbit around the world axis. With this you have the finished cosmogony. I will give details in later writings.

And now "creation" occurs, the "empty" power space works. Matter alone remains lifeless unless it is transformed by the cosmos. Life is certainly a "natural process, but only cosmic bodies

can create life because they themselves are children of the universal organism. The universe is alive. Imagine space, how the planets move in different daily orbits depending on the series of formations and forces, for example Neptune inside, then Jupiter, next to us the moon. Now exactly the same processes must repeat themselves in Neptune as in the universe, it is after all a young universe; its inner world contains the sphere of stars, planets, sun, everything in miniature. Now think further! These small planets inside also acquire exactly the same subdivisions, and so on forever.

Finally, what are the finest "planets"? They are germs of life, beings similar to an egg. Why should life only exist in the eggshell, why only here does a chicken develop, why not in the universe according to the same principles? The last formations will therefore be called egg beings, whose further development we will observe. As the planets draw ever larger orbits and

finally encounter an environment layer that absorbs them, these germs move in space around their birthplace, that is, the axis of the birth world in the narrower sense, the microplanet. But soon (thinking in terms of cosmic time) the sphere lies down against the next wall, puts down roots, becomes a plant, or develops into an animal, depending on the degree. Through reproduction in the known way, division or procreation, a multitude of beings now emerge within this wall. But now this microplanet also moves on in a spiral and soon reaches its deposit wall of the mother body. Meanwhile, the forces of all these beings formed a new, finer, higher germ inside, in the center, an egg being, which you can compare to the God of this world. When the sphere is now deposited, it emerges from the opened bark together with its living world and everything spreads out on the wall. So you can see a higher world form coming into being. Once again, all the forces of life of all beings come together and build new, higher germs in the middle of this planet, which are deposited and multiplied in order to again help in the majority to build new, higher germs in the middle. This work created the highest beings.

At first you will have difficulty absorbing the amount of new thoughts and images and mentally

process. Therefore, the overview may help. The rotating force universe created rotating rings and from these the multitude of hollow spheres. In all of these, the same multitude of small hollow spheres were created and in all of these microworlds, down to the very finest, finally the germs. In this way, like egg in egg, the material world in space is created. But this is only a tiny fraction of the force world in the ether.

But this "becoming" cannot stand still! The germs are taken from the "earth" and must come to the earth. The last, finest germs are surrounded like an egg by an egg wall, onto which they attach themselves as they move, for they circle within it. Now, as a result of gravity, a structure with a branched form, a being, a plant, is formed. The seeds multiply this tiny world, and all these forces form higher germs inside, thus filling the microworld with life. But now the microworld does not stand still either, but also deposits itself in a spiral on its next wall. Here this world of the tiniest form forms, as it were, only a germ, an animal. That was already development! The same processes of higher germ formation in the now larger egg wall take place until it too is filled and attaches itself to the larger boundary wall. The same formations take place there. Now think this out further, and you come to the planetary crust, within which there is a large living world. Ever higher germs emerge, finally the middle germ becomes human". Now the planet itself finally settles on the final crust "Earth" on the spiral path, a continent is created, including the living world that has developed over an infinite time, its own flora and fauna, including the human race.

And now consider that the planets came into being one after the other, and were therefore subject to very different forces, thus producing different beings in their subtleties (species), then you will see the immeasurable variety of forms of our earthly creatures. And then there are the terrestrial hybrids!

In Polynesia, the oldest continent, we see only an innumerable wealth of insect species and birds as the highest form, — no amphibians; so man was the bird at that time. Then in Australia came the Negro. So man did not develop from animals on earth.

These processes were not only with Neptune, but with all the planets and the countless fine subdivisions down to the tiniest spheres within them. Thus you can see the countless variety of forms and beings that come to the earth's crust at the end of the cosmic journey. Our moon is the next planet whose interior arrives as deposits. Today it is still orbiting, but its back is getting thinner because the matter follows the gravity to the earth; later it will open. The beings must therefore already live today only on our side due to gravity. When they open they then see the sky of our world, look at their "world" as a disk with insurmountable walls, surrounded by the sea with the world mountain,

— and again the old idea is not wrong. Then, over centuries, the earth slowly pulls the moon tight, the walls crumble, expand far, perhaps into the sea, floods arise, local catastrophes, and finally a small continent is formed on which the moon creatures spread out. This is how the old continents and races came to earth. Countless planets formed the present continents, created the deserts, mixed the races and creatures in ancient times. These arrivals on the moon are mentioned in old legends of all peoples and Noah's Ark represents them.

According to scientific findings, the speed of the moon's orbit has increased noticeably in the last 30 years. The idea that a giant meteor crashed backwards onto it and drove it was not believed. But the moon circles closer and at a Copernican speed. But what is this really? You saw it in Kepler's law. As a result of the approach and the longer orbit, the moon must lag behind more than usual every day, or "lead ahead" in a Copernican way. The cause is not a meteor or any other external influence. Since the moon spirals along its "orbit to the earth" as a result of internal development, it will arrive with us as a new paradise when it becomes stationary like the earth. Or Copernican, if the moon circles the earth every day in a "rapid flight", so that the month lasts only one day. The proselytes of ancient times tell of this, of the Ferris wheel in the sky.

Since the length of time for the development of cosmic sense depends on the higher development of

Beings, you see that the later, the higher the beings had to appear. Since the newest formations in the inner world were also powerfully built up, the later worlds must produce incomparably higher structures than earlier ones. However, trees never grow into the sky, everything passes away. Becoming and dying are forever changing in the world.

As the earth's crust grows, it moves away from the center of the world, from the sun. Gravity increases due to material changes, warmth decreases, creatures wither away, cosmic winter! Finally, the "earth" dies, it dissolves, the "graves" open, the forces gather in the center of the world. God, the "Last Day" appears. Now new creations begin within, because space is etheric power. The sky begins to grow, the new destiny arises from the seeds of earlier earth times. The Bible calls this "Last Judgement".

So what does the world want to achieve through the planetary orbit? The power should be partially embodied or incarnated. The following conditions are required for this: 1. hollow spheres as mother bodies, 2. small size of these because of the effects of the force on the germ, 3. long paths to gain time until deposition, thus spiral paths, and finally 4. peace and protection for the life within, thus slow, trouble-free paths. The copper world contradicts these conditions.

The true world is an infinite nesting, egg mother and egg germ, down to the smallest detail; if the effect of gravity did not affect the earth's crust, we humans would also be hollow spheres and our organs would have to be in motion. Only gravity causes adhesions and "shapes". In principle, all the stars are "beings" with thinking. Could we assume that only the products of "human beings" are thinkers, but that the creator, the "spirit of God", is only dead force?! The sky must also be animated, because after the earth has disintegrated, this ball will unfold into a new earth, whereas inside a young sky must arise again, which will absorb all the seed; no thought is lost here.

The earth was once small; therefore the Bible teaches that the first fathers spoke to God with sight. It also teaches that the "angels fell away" from God; this too is made clear by

the removal of the crust and thereby a weaker feeling for the power of God. As a result, people then became weak, sinful beings who lived materially instead of spiritually, and "paradise" gave way to mistakes. I only wanted to hint at these questions in order to show religious thinkers that this world view does not violate the seers despite the "development", but the copern picture cannot settle these questions. I reserve the right to interpret more if the church is interested in it. It should have the greatest desire for a better world view.

In the beginning, the crust was only raw material, from which the inner germs and beings came in a primitive form. The constant multiplication resulted in the imprinting of higher forms through the increased forces and so on. The forces not only form a dead ball of "clay", but also chisel out the tiniest of details, they imprint the face of the environment into the germs through the pressure of force. These countless germs formed the organic being. The formation of the germs means fate for the being. As the seed, so the harvest, relentlessly just. The earth's crust is the stage of the "Great World Theater". Thus the world rolls along according to the "Plan of God-hom

Man was never an animal, but always the central, highest form in the planet, its soul. But since the planets of prehistoric times had to be less developed, the races also came in a less advanced form. But even the lowest Homo sapiens was never an ape. As the germ was created, so it remains until the end; terrestrial development achieves only insignificant changes. Therefore, there are no "transitions" in development, because the cosmic germ development "creates" the beings. The races originate from other times, other world conditions, just as the color of the skin indicates that a different light formed them from the ground up. The animal races also originate from other planets, although they are similar, such as tigers, leopards and cats. You can see this in the fauna that remained specific to certain continents. If the Negro is less developed, he is not cosmically inferior; he is simply an ancestor; We, the successors, owe the "higher" development to the work of all our ancestors. Our duty is to raise it. This gives the racial question

Wagner

a completely different light! No common original forms were found; a type remains a type, even if the family tree branches out. Development remains an idea, it is not a fact of experience. The results of research show that every geological era gave the fauna a certain signature, so that all animals took on a certain similar form without belonging together in terms of evolutionary history. During the transition from the Paleozoic to the Mesozoic, for example, For example, the newt form was "modern", as was the so-called parietal eye, then all tribes, including the lizards, took on the form of mammals, including their teeth. Then again we find upright walking, flight forms, and each time after such an epoch the first real bird, the first mammal, etc. appeared. In this way, similar forms with the characteristics of different tribes also emerged in the time signature. The family tree is fiction. We see new types emerging in leaps and bounds. These facts prove cosmic development, namely the construction of the germs from the existing forms, the accumulation of experience, so to speak.

Cosmic development is also expressed in the brain, and we can therefore tell the cosmic age of animals by their "intelligence". The terrestrial theory of evolution declared that Europeans were the oldest product that had "evolved" from cavemen. The error becomes obvious from the new cosmic development, because Europeans were the last to arrive on the crust with the youngest planet.

Our thinking is a mechanical process. The brain contains unbelievable subtleties of internal formation. The impressions from the eye and ear pass through the nerves to these cones, the images are stored according to laws and combined with the vibrations of the ear nerves. This is how certain structures are created in the brain. I will publish more details later. Since every brain is subjectively constructed, every person receives its own form. When the learned "concepts" start to vibrate as cones when the word is mentioned or the object is seen, we hear the word spiritually and see the thing. We then think in complicated processes. A soul alone never thinks materially, but only dream-like in its existence, because even a germ thinks. Through such storage of a number of learned images we get ideas that we

can then recall it as a memory by achieving inner vibrations.

Just as all the fine details of a large landscape are incredibly condensed in the focal point of a lens and this focal point can be expanded to form a cinematic image, so God is the focal point of the world theater of Earth. Our thoughts go to him and form our destiny in the result. God as spirit remains the eternal center of the world, because the space of power remains forever in the same place, only the coarse matter moves. Now imagine the planetary souls as "gods" in the old sense, so the races are embodied, multiplied gods. Why should they fight each other as children of one world? The world itself contains enough suffering through the raw power of nature and accidents, so we should strive to reduce suffering to the smallest possible degree through tolerance, because we are only pilgrims through the world. Our purpose is to learn in order to bring the soul, the germ, to its highest development, so that later the highest structures can arise in the "coming world." Wars are certainly the greatest injustice and can be avoided as soon as the world looks with open eyes.

This is the intention of my world view. May the future be better, may we not blame the world's leadership for injustice or deny it!

IV.

RELIGION AND SCIENCE

This concludes the presentation of my world view, for the decision remains a scientific matter. If I nevertheless venture into the area of religious philosophy in a few lines, the reason for this is a suggestion I received while I was printing, the conclusion of which may be of interest to a wide circle of people. I will briefly state my opinion and then present the opposing ideas.

Today, the view prevails that science and religion are irreconcilable opposites, since cosmogony and the theory of evolution violate the basic biblical teachings. It was taught that the infinitely finely distributed primordial matter gathered together to form suns, that these countless star-shaped suns again spun off the planets in glowing glow, and these spun off their moons, and that these giant bodies were brought to life in an inexplicable way. Darwin's theory of evolution now let beings emerge from the primordial germs, which developed through selection, adaptation, etc. into the advanced beings we know today over the course of countless millions of years. A creator had nothing to do with this, everything was created blindly according to the so-called laws of nature, but no researcher has yet discovered how matter created the germs of life. It was therefore assumed from time immemorial that they must have floated in icy space.

My explanations of the origin of life in matter do not require any direct intervention by the deity, because life cells arise in space from the all-power or fine matter. The essence of my presentation therefore lies in the recognition of the form of the world (cause) as an eternal hollow spherical organism or living celestial body. However, I have always emphasized that the essence of the world is not the known matter or the substance that our eyes see, but that the far greater mass of the invisible fine matter, i.e. the force matter, represents the "world". For this reason, you can keep the word "spirit" and thus define the world-

God is spirit, according to this way of thinking: God is the sum of all power or the whole. This does not mean a pantheistic way of thinking, since this wants to see God in every stone. "Matter" is thus excluded from religious considerations.

The material world develops as a starry sky according to the laws of nature; the course rolls along unalterably, because these natural laws are "arranged" according to the will of this deity. This becomes immediately clear scientifically when we consider that hydrogen does not always have to be the first element to emerge from the ether or the primal force, and with it these specific "developments" of the other elements and the material world. But if these primal substances were different, a completely different material world would emerge, which logically would also form completely different "laws"; thus the laws of nature are just as little eternal as our forms of the material world. Countless forms are conceivable. But the truth remains eternal that all these worlds must be hollow spheres, because this ideal form is conditioned by the eternal force.

We cannot therefore think of "nature" as the divine highest, and even the Greek philosophers recognized nature as cruel and demonically unjust because the laws of nature rule blindly. But since matter must develop and with it the dangers, man was given **reason to protect himself from it; he should** learn compassion in order to help those affected. In short, the world is not, as many believe, just a place of pleasure, but the "vineyard of God" of hard work of "development towards the highest". But then the work of life must not end in death.

This vineyard is called earth. I have laboriously proven what the earth looks like in space; it is the boundary of space, the largest spherical space in the world. We think of God as a person and spirit at the same time as the central point. We can deduce his "personality" from this with scientific certainty, because it would be illogical to think and know only of ourselves as humans, whereas we deny a personality in the center of the world. If my proofs of cosmic development show that everything is developed higher in the center of the world, then this center is always the highest. But since the "material

If God is constantly moving away from the "center", then we cannot see God as these planetary worlds, but at most as his children, his embodiment, incarnation. God as eternal spirit remains the permanent center of power, the sum of all his beings, because this real "power world of the subtle matter" remains eternal. The power space always remains the same, no matter how matter moves and forms itself. In this respect, we can imagine God as the "creator". It is impossible to think in any other way, and since this thinking easily becomes aberrant, the fundamental law stipulated: You shall not make for yourself a likeness!

I think it is premature to say more about religious questions. Let the Church speak about that. One thing is certain: these questions can be integrated strikingly into the new world view.

The objection is as follows:

"The enormous numbers are cited as making the Copernican system unbelievable. The objection is justified by saying that despite these distances we do not reach infinity, but remain in the finite, it is just that our minds cannot imagine these distances and light years. But how many secrets of nature and forces remain hidden from our minds! It is therefore important to note that the Church allows every person to research freely, but he must not assert anything that contradicts Holy Scripture."

Good, then the Copernicus system is definitely wrong and anti-Christian in the church, as shown in the section "World views", because God created "heaven and earth" and heaven was the fortress that separated the waters. The Bible is the basis of Christian thinking; the beginning describes the world in my image. The world was desolate and empty! This emptiness is certainly not called "nothing" in the philosophical sense, because scientifically nothing comes from nothing. This emptiness is only called material nothing, but the world consisted of the force ether, of moving subtle matter, in which you imagine God according to your thinking. An "infinite" force space defies all thinking. The world was the earth's crust, filled with "empty" subtle matter.

And now came the "Let it be"! Through the will of the Creator, the power grouped itself according to the "plan of God" and according to this plan the course of the world has been unalterably just for eons. It would be a misunderstanding to claim that I let the world develop from the "primal matter". Revelation teaches that the world runs according to God's plan, so that the objection that I teach deism seems unfair to me. Certainly the world, after being created by God according to his laws of spiritual power, now runs according to iron laws (Goethe) without God caring about it; for he only cares about the spiritual world, which in my opinion is the world of thought of man. If man were to sensitively recognize the will of the Creator as in primeval times, the "conditions" would certainly be better. That is the "fall of man"! As a result of the growth of the earth's crust, people became materially distant from the Creator and the angels "fell away", literally. They then clung more and more to the material world and today we see the consequences.

Therefore I must contradict the critic's view that I am moving along a materialistic and pantheistic path, because I say explicitly: The material world is not God, but God is spirit, power, the whole. In spatial terms, matter means so little in the universe of my presentation that it becomes almost nothing. The flowing, roaring, living is the "empty" spatial world that materializes according to the fundamental laws. Far be it from me to look for God in every tree according to pantheistic ideas. I am only following a materialistic path scientifically. But I also see "matter" in the last subtle matter or the all-power, because I cannot imagine anything else as a mortal human being, because no one can get a clear idea with empty philosophical words. Our thinking consists in images. The critic also creates images of God.

If creating means "bringing forth from nothing", then this "nothing" can be imagined, but no one will be able to imagine an absolute "nothing" from which "something" comes! He who thinks nothing, thinks nothing! Nothing is only supposed to be the contrast to matter, that is, the power, the last thing that can be thought of, in the popular expression of prehistoric times, nothing. The church in particular teaches the "power of God".

Another objection to my "world eternity" is that revelation teaches that the world will end, which is clear according to the principle: "Everything earthly has a beginning, and therefore also an end." The Copernican doctrine also violates this view with the assertion that the world came into being from the primordial nebula through the concentration of gravity and that these swings will now stop, so that the balls that have cooled in icy space will succumb to the death of the world. Now I ask whether it would be worthy of a deity to senselessly set these balls in motion again like a ball game?

In my opinion, the world never perishes as a whole, but only partially. The earth's crust as a shell dies, that is without doubt, because it grows until it dissolves in primal force. It is made of ether, and it becomes ether again! But the universe is — immortal. From this ether the inner world of heaven is built, which is constantly growing. Consequently, it will eventually become "earth", while the new creation has already taken place inside. The destruction of the earth is thus the "Last Judgement" and the beginning of the new creation of the heavenly world, according to plan. God's plan thus works from this time of first creation in the middle of the universe to the final dissolution as destruction. Of course everything "earthly" has an end, but never the world. Every scientist will respect this high eternal cycle, the perpetual motion machine.

Nevertheless, the new inner world can be thought of as being built according to completely different divine laws, so that a creation of other world forms with a beginning and an end does not violate the Bible. The fact that beings develop in the planets and that the living world appears in accordance with laws and plans over the course of the aeons does not contradict a correct idea of creation in any way; the Bible tells the story in images for the people of prehistoric times. A "heaven" is only conceivable in the earthly world, the ice space is dead. The center (father) of heaven is God. What is unclear? The divine attributes, omnipresence, etc. are a completely natural thing here and I invite the church leaders to comment.

In this world form it is also understandable that, due to the smallness of the primeval earth, the forefathers "spoke with God". The earth was never a glowing, hurled ball. Humanity was always a "part of God". Buchholz says: "Their universe, on the other hand, brings humanity with its

Creator. We feel closely connected to him. This truth will also prevail."

But even the occult-minded circles find truths in the new world view. If the real human being is only a collection of forces, then in death this force gathers into a tiny sphere or monad that floats in space. The more highly developed it is, the higher it strives away from the earth. We can imagine astral planes, a sorting of spirits. If these monads are alive, like the pupae of butterflies, they live spiritually without nourishment. In this "dream life" however, they can have an effect on the beings of the earth, from which spiritualistic explanations can be built. After a certain maturity, the monads rise higher, which mediums also said. The dead think with the living; a reunion becomes conceivable, even spiritual influences in life. This is how "higher thinking" can be explained. Countless monads thus find space in the structure of the world. The resurrection becomes clear.

Man today therefore already consists of two beings, soul and body, both linked by power. The "soul" receives certain power characteristics at birth as a result of the positions of the stars. This "horoscope" gives astrological interpretations meaning, because this monad rotates like the sky. The changes in the stars thus determine fate through influences. Astrology finds its foundation in the new earth theory; it is empirical knowledge. But here exact research fails us humans!

v.

THE DEVELOPMENT OF MATTER

Older science assumed that space consisted of nebulae that contained all chemical elements such as iron, carbon, gold, radium, etc. in the finest form. Due to gravity, these so-called atoms gathered into spheres and the molten mass sorted the substances according to their specific weight, for example platinum at the bottom, then gold, higher up silver and copper, and on the surface iron, carbon, water, and air. I have already explained how this arrangement should be thought of above and below. Later research recognized the possibility of the elements splitting into lighter elements from the decay of radium, which also proved the formation of heavy elements. There were therefore no longer any "atoms" or indivisible substances, but everything had to have developed from the lightest element, hydrogen; the atoms were multiples of the original substance.

This overview cannot offer an explanation of the views as they change over time; the other books are available for that. The current view is based on the theorem that the smallest atoms are "solar systems"; a nucleus with a positive electrical charge is danced around by a certain number of negative electrons, billions of times per second in small things. In accordance with the statement: As in the large, so in the small, the Copernican error also intervened here. But no one will understand how these atoms then come to hold together as firmly as steel. Explanations are certainly available.

Energetics explain the world as "energy" without matter; however, we only get an idea of it in the image of atoms. These can be thought of as being divisible again and again, but ultimately even thinking will no longer be able to divide because it will assume that the atoms will eventually become so fine that the dividing force, that is, the atoms that collide, will become too fine, because they will no longer crush or divide, but will just whiz past. This is the primal rotation, the world force.

of Schrödinger Atom-like (Callenat)

This brings us to the end of the thinking process and we have before us the "energy".

The first particles to be created are the "electrons", from these the "ether" is formed, from whose groupings "subtle matter" is formed and our world of "matter" begins with the original element hydrogen (water consists of the gases hydrogen and oxygen). From this you can see how complicated our first element is to imagine. But the idea of solar systems is wrong, at least in terms of form.

Our world, as an eternal earth world, is polar; — the eternal force therefore collects all matter into threads from the very beginning. Only these threads bind themselves into cables, so that these solar systems are not individual spheres, but systems glued together into threads. These threads rotate rapidly around a center. The whole could be imagined as a rotating atomic cable. The cohesion is not achieved through attraction, but quite the opposite through constant repulsion of the threads, because if you imagine space filled with threads, they constantly repel each other by swinging with the force of rotation (universal force), so they constantly press together from all sides, from which you can understand their tremendous strength. Therefore the threads never fuse, they repel each other everywhere by rotating. Since these threads remain, the atomic threads can be broken down into sub-threads, just as the compounds break down chemically into elements or the elements can group together without destruction.

Hydrogen consists of a cable that is rotating at the fastest speed; there are ten million threads per millimeter. Chemists then use the vapor weights to determine the so-called atomic weights, the thread weights. To make a comparison, hydrogen was given 1 and oxygen 16, which is explained in chemistry books. This is how all the elements were determined. The table explains the abbreviations of the chemical symbols. From this you can see the vertical lines of origin and from these the properties of the elements.

Four strands of hydrogen linked together like a cable produced helium, the non-flammable gas used to fill airships. But we still don't know how to link these four hydrogens together in an elementary way! The reason? Because the oscillation of gravity prevents us from grasping them, which happens automatically in space without gravity. How simple and how

powerless! Man should not be allowed to act creatively. Heavy explosions will threaten the researchers.

Then 7 threads, one inside and 6 outside, linked together to form metal lithium; this bonded elementally with helium 4 to form boron 11. But 3 hydrogens also bonded together, but the form immediately linked with boron to form nitrogen 14. Furthermore, 3 hydrogen triplets linked together produced metal beryllium 9 and 3 quadruplets (He) or 4 triplets gave carbon 12; both substances are found in gemstones. Furthermore, 4 heliums formed oxygen 16; lithium and beryllium also produced a form 16, which now became the carrier of a large family.

As you can see from the table, the earlier elements from lithium to carbon bonded with it, creating the elements sodium 23, magnesium 25, aluminum (clay) 27 and silicon (silica) 28. But since all substances are mixtures, the atomic weights became fractions. Then it continued: their chaining with 16 again produced potassium, calcium (lime), scandium and now potassium and sodium chained together to form copper, calcium and magnesium to zinc, scandium and aluminum to form gallium. Now came a large copper series and it should be emphasized that with sodium rubidium was formed, from which with sodium silver was formed, from which with sodium cesium, from which with zinc gold was formed and gold became thorium; radium and uranium were formed in a similar way from the precursor elements. This has now brought about the tremendous "become" to "die", because these radiant elements are subject to strong decay. Of course the series continues, but the substances no longer reach the upper crust of the earth in decay; what we get from them is the lava and the gases and heating from volcanic eruptions; they alone cause the enormous deep heat. Thus you can clearly see the disintegration of the earth at the boundary of space into electrons, the building material of the primordial beginning. The boundary is fire, "hell".

In the same way, the development of the other elements on the right-hand side, the so-called metalloids, can be seen from the simplest table, which I do not want to go into further in text, because I am not writing for chemists who understand the table without words. Since, in addition to the large main forms, there are also similar "out-


sider", most of the substances are hybrids. The weights cannot therefore be adjusted to a small extent.

This chaos of related developments would have resulted in nothing more than a nebula, which would still exist today, had the universal power in the form of the earth not brought salvation. In the middle, the power of atomic rotation reigns. The sucking polar axes attracted the nebula, hurled the clumps away like a centrifuge and thus sorted the nebula into the familiar rings from which the hollow sphere was formed. Once the star sphere had been formed and the end of the middle had been built, the small spheres developed outside in the axes; they are planets and moons, comets and meteors, ice clouds, etc., and this is where the masses of small asteroids come from.

Of course, the process of crust formation was not painless, because the chemical formations, especially phosphorus, sulphur, arsenic, fluorine, chlorine, etc., generated tremendous heat and movement, as the comets prove. The earth was also once in these catastrophes of the "Fiat lux". Then, as it grew larger, rotation and heat ceased, the substances above hardened and what we have of the rare metals of the depths are only fine veins and lakes of the underworld. Because these metal flows travelled on the longest journeys, the substances are mixed up almost everywhere.

The earth's world also proves this development, because a Copernican or Kantian nebula would never have gathered into balls when scattered trillions of times thinner than air, since there is no such attraction, rather the matter in the threads repel each other; a broken porcelain figure cannot be put back together by any pressure (proximity), since the atoms repel each other. The connection between the atoms is first caused by heat, because then the atomic threads, vibrating violently, link and entwine like jellyfish, without being able to break free again because of the rapid rotation. The tearing therefore means an impact against the forces of rotation. The earth is only bound by cohesion, but the atoms must be thrown off without resistance at the outside edge, so the earth is radiant. But there is no observer there who admires this wonderful spectacle of spiritualization, of the silent return to "Let there be".

In the short, rapid pace as with comets, the process of "primitive construction of the globes" is clearly visible as follows.



From this you can see briefly why meteorites mainly contain iron, silica and lime and why comets suddenly start to emit radiation and emit radio tails. As a result of heating and other processes, radium then breaks down into its solid parts, which causes things to settle down until new formations occur again. These are the periods!

With this I have described to you a world view that should find your approval. A spaceship will never carry mortal man to those heavenly realms, only the spirit will be allowed to cross that Styx, that critical middle zone without gravity between here and there, through the ferryman Charon; but your imagination can already wander over to the star-studded home of the future and cry out: "I live in that better world..."

The world view of the future wanted to show you this beautiful view!

"rare earths"

Caesium 132 plus Li 7 139 Ce	Be 9 141 Pr	B 11 143 Di	C 12 144 Nd	N is missing — —	O 16 148 Sa	FNc 20 152 Eu	NaMg 24 156 Gd
plus AlSi <small>21 40</small> <small>found</small>	PS 32 164 Dy	Cl 35 167 Er	Cl 36 168 Tu	K 39 171 Yb	Ca 40 172 Cp	Sc 44 176 Lu	Tl 48 180 Ta

Explanation.

Sodium is formed when the oxygen cable is encircled by lithium; this sodium cable is then encircled by oxygen, and potassium is formed. Potassium then bonds with sodium or magnesium to form copper. Potassium, sodium and magnesium are bonded together to form rubidium; this becomes silver, this becomes cesium, this becomes gold, this becomes thorium. The second series works the same way, but mixtures of the series are shown. It should be mentioned in particular that this "elementary" chain is fundamentally different from the "chemical" compound. Since copper, for example, was created in several forms, the derivatives were created with different properties. Please note:

Tin and antimony, lead
and bismuth, phosphorus

and sulphur, iodine
and tellurium,

Vanadium, manganese, nickel and chromium, iron, cobalt.

Literature reference

Astronomy: Newcomb-Engelmann, Popular Astronomy Dr. M. Wilh. Meyer: "The World Structure"

Littrow: "Wonders of the Heavens"

Plaßmann-Pohle: "The Starry Sky"

Reclam Univ.-Bibl.: "At the Observatory" Vol. 2305.

For the following topics see "Culture of the Present" T. III, Section III/3 Astronomy, with numerous references to further literature:

"Development of the astronomical world view" by F. Boll, p. 1 ff. "Expansion of the concept of space" by Flotow, p. 202, 318.

"Determination of location" by Ambronn, p. 138 ff., aberration, etc. "Planetary system" by Hepperger, p. 216, epicycle, etc., p. 237 ff. about comets, zodiacal light, etc.

"Star System" by Kobold, pp. 511 ff. 563.

Planetary system by Graff, pp. 261 ff. to 306 comets. Solar theories by Pringsheim, pp. 318 ff., Earth's orbit etc., Dictionary of Science: W. H. Julius, pp. 364 ff. 371. (1912.) Gravitation by

Oppenheim pp. 598 ff., Einstein pp. 616 f. Relativity theory by Einstein, p. 703, vol. Physics. Spherical space etc. by Oppenheim, pp. 627 ff. Newton's laws, p. 604,

their inaccuracy etc. General knowledge: vol.

Natural philosophy by Erich Becher of "Contemporary Culture".

In the Physics volume of the same collection:

Mechanics, Aether, Waves, Gravitation by Wiechert 43 ff.

Radiation by Rubens, pp. 202-297. Theory.

of Light by H. A. Lorentz, pp. 311 ff., 570 f. Electrons

by Starke, pp. 413 ff. Atomism

by E. Dorn, pp. 223, 254, 620. Magnetism by

R. Gans, p. 334. Entropy by Hasenöhr,

pp. 686 f. Natural Philosophy by W.

Ostwald, Energy etc., pp. 160 ff. Heat Conduction by W. Jäger,

pp. 179 ff.; Aether never conducts. Heat Radiation by

H. Rubens, pp. 178 f.!! and in Dr. M. Wilh. Meyer "Natural Forces". Chemical

Elements, pp. 425-466.

Atoms, pp. 510

ff.

Cathode rays, polar lights, etc., p. 395 ff. In the

"Handbook of Natural Sciences" Refraction of light, curved light rays by O.

Lummer, Vol. VI, p. 250 ff.

Atmospheric Optics, Vol. I, p. 647 ff., Fata morgana, also Meyer

"Natural Forces", p. 216 ff., 311.

Magnetic field of the earth, Vol. VI, pp. 599 ff., 626 ff.

Tides, p. 11 ff. (Flut.)

Biology, sperm egg, pictures, p. 9 ff.

Cosmogony by Knopf, p. 977 ff.

"Contemporary Culture", Vol. Biology, Section IV/1.

Developmental mechanics by Laqueur, p. 50. 302 ff.

Essence of Life by W. Roux, p. 173 ff.

Details about the egg, etc.: Vol. Cell Theory and Vol. Zoology, as well
as Natural Philosophy.

Animal Geography by A. Brauer, Section IV/4, p. 266 ff., with the
numerous accompanying references.

For general reference, a conversation dictionary is sufficient.

Contents

	Page
What does the book want?	5
I. The Earthworld	
1. The world views	9
2. The Image Illusion	17
3. The space curves	32
4. The sky.	40
5. The attraction	59
II. The Evidence of the Earth World	
1. The Copernican Proofs	68
2. The Earth measurements	72
3. Erdphysik	73
4. Weltphysik	77
5. Ueberblick	80
III. The World Organism	85
IV. Religion and Science	97
V. The Development of Matter.	105
Literature reference	113
Element tables	116

family tree of

1	H Hydrogen 1H 3 Helium He 4 Lithium Li 7	Be Beryllium 9 3xH3 333	B Bor 11 Li+He 7 4	C Carbon 12 Be+H3 3xHelium 117 374
2	Na sodium 23 Li+O	Mg Magnesium 24.3 Li+N+H3 BeO	Al Aluminium 27 B+O	Si Silicon 28.4 H+O C+N+H3 (Oz)
3	K Kalium 39 Na+O	Ca Calcium 40 Mg+O	Sc Scandium 44 Si+O Al+O	Ti Titan 48 Si+Ne P/S+O/Oz
4	Cu copper 63.6 K+Na; K+Mg Cr+C; V-C Fe+Li	Zn Zink 65.4 Ca+Mg; Ca+Al Fe+Be	Ga Gallium 70 Sc+Al Al+O+Al	Ge Germanium 72.5 Sc+Si
5	Rb Rubidium 85.5 1) K+Na+Mg 2) Co/Ni/Fe+Al	Sr Strontium 87.6 Cu+Mg	Y Yttrium 89 Cu+Al	Zr Zircon 90.6 Cu+Si Ge+F
6	Ag Silver 108 Rb2+-Na Cr+Fe	Cd Cadmium 112 Sr+Mg	In Indium 115 Sr+Al Y+Al	Sn Tin 119 Cu+Mn Cu+Fe
7	Cs Cesium 133 1) Ag+Na; Ag+Mg Rb+Na+Mg 2) Cu+Ga	Ba Barium 137.5 Cd+Mg	La Lanthan 140 Cd+Al In+Mg	"rare earths"
8	Au Gold 197 Cs2+Za Cu+Zn+Ga	Hg mercury 200 Cs 1)+Ga Ba Cu	Tl Thallium 204 La+Cu	Pb Lead 207 Sn+Fe+S Bi Bismuth 208 Sn+Co+P
9	Th Thorium 232 Au+Cl; Hg+5	U Uranium 239 Ti+P/S/Cl Pb/Bi+P/S		

NB. The abbreviations are official according to the Latin names.

chemical elements

1	N Nitrogen 14 B+H3	O oxygen 16 C+He: 4XHe Li+Be N+H3-17 Oz	F Fluor 19 O+H3 C+Li	Ne Neon 20 O+He Oz+H3
2	P Phosphor 31 N+Oz (N+H3) C+F (Cl)	S sulfur 32 C+Ne Be+He+Cl	Cl Chlor 35.5 F+O; Ne+O FOz	A Argon 40 2 Ne; Oz+F+He Argon+Neon=601 see Bromine
3	V Vanadin 51 K+C	Cr Chromium 52 Ca+C	Mn Manganese 55 K+O	Fe Iron 56 Ca+O Co Cobalt 59 Ca+F Ni Nickel 58.7 K+F; K+Ne
4	As Arsen 75 Sc+P	Se Selen 79 Ti+P Si+O	Br Brom 80 Sc+Cl 60+Ne P+S+Oz	Kr Krypton 80 60--Ne Kr+Ne=100! see iodine
5	Nb Niob 94 V+Cl+P Cu+P As+F	Mo Molybdenum 96 Cr+C+S Cu+S Se+Oz	See Iodine 99 Cu+Cl P+S+Cl	Ru Ruthenium 101 Cu+K Rh Rhodium 103 Cu+Ca Pd Palladium 106.5 Cu+Al+O
6	Sb Antimony 120 Ge+Ti As+Sc Nb-Al	Te Tellurium 127.6 Se+Ti Mo+P/S	Iodine 127 Si+P+S+Cl S1-991 end	X Xenon 128 100+Si/P safe earths
7	Here follow "Rare Earths" X/Cs+Li in the correct order up to Ti (then follows Tungsten)			
8	Ta Tantalum 180 Cu+Zn+V	W Wolfram 184 Cs2+V Cs2+Cr	See Po. 187/8 Cs2+Mn Cs2) Fe	Os Osmium 191 Cs+Ni Iridium 193 Cs+60 Pt Platinum 195 Cs+Cu
9	thorium and uranium 235		Po Pollonium 218 187/8+P/Cl	Ra Radium 223 Obige+P
<p>235+Cl=270 Po/R+Chlor=255 270 and 255 Chlorine was element 300.</p>				
NB. The abbreviations are official according to the Latin names.				